



NORWAY

TRENDS AND SOURCES OF ZOOSES AND ZOOTIC AGENTS IN HUMANS, FOODSTUFFS ANIMALS AND FEEDINGSTUFF

- including information on foodborne outbreaks, antimicrobial resistance in zootic agents and some pathogenic microbiological agents in 2009



Norway

Trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in humans, foodstuffs, animals and feedingstuffs

- including information on foodborne outbreaks, antimicrobial resistance in zoonotic agents and some pathogenic microbiological agents

In 2009

The Report referred to in Article 9 of Directive 2003/99/EC

Information on the reporting and monitoring system

Country: Norway
Reporting Year: 2009

Institutions and laboratories involved in reporting and monitoring:

The Norwegian Food Safety Authority (NFSA)

The Norwegian Food Safety Authority (NFSA) is the competent authority for the purpose of Directive 2003/99/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.
Contributing with data and text.

The National Veterinary Institute (NVI)

The National Veterinary Institute (NVI) is a governmental agency funded by the Ministry of Agriculture and Food, Ministry of Fisheries and Coastal Affairs and the Norwegian Research Council. The primary function is the supply of independent research based advisory support to the governing authorities regarding animal health, fish health and food safety.
Contributing with data and text. The reporting officer is employed at the Zoonosis Centre at NVI.

The National Institute of Nutrition and Seafood Research (NIFES)

The National Institute of Nutrition and Seafood Research (NIFES) is a research institute with administrative tasks. The institute is linked directly to the Ministry of Fisheries and Coastal Affairs and act as an advisor to the Ministry in matters concerning the "fjord to fork" production chain of seafood (both wild and farmed). NIFES also provides independent and research based advisory support to other governmental bodies and to the Norwegian fisheries and aquaculture industries.
Contributing with data and text.

The Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH)

The Norwegian Institute of Public Health (NIPH) is the national governmental centre for communicable disease prevention and control. The institute performs research and surveillance of communicable diseases in man and advices governmental and municipal authorities and the public on the prevention of communicable diseases, outbreaks and antimicrobial resistance. The institute also has responsibilities concerning chronic disease epidemiology, environmental medicine and forensic toxicology.
Contributing with data and text.

Suggested citation: Hofshagen M, Heier B T, Hauge K: Norway 2009 - Trends and source of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in humans foodstuffs, animals and feedingstuffs.

Preface

This report is submitted to the European Commission in accordance with Article 9 of Council Directive 2003/99/EC¹. The information has also been forwarded to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) and to the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA).

The report contains information on trends and sources of zoonoses and zoonotic agents in Norway during the year 2009. The information covers the occurrence of these diseases and agents in humans, animals, foodstuffs and in some cases also in feedingstuffs. In addition the report includes data on antimicrobial resistance in some zoonotic agents and commensal bacteria as well as information on epidemiological investigations of foodborne outbreaks. Complementary data on susceptible animal populations in the country is also given. The information given covers both zoonoses that are important for the public health in the whole European Community as well as zoonoses, which are relevant on the basis of the national epidemiological situation.

The report describes the monitoring systems in place and the prevention and control strategies applied in the country. For some zoonoses this monitoring is based on legal requirements laid down by the Community Legislation, while for the other zoonoses national approaches are applied.

The report presents the results of the examinations carried out in the reporting year. A national evaluation of the epidemiological situation, with special reference to trends and sources of zoonotic infections, is given. Whenever possible, the relevance of findings in foodstuffs and animals to zoonoses cases in humans is evaluated.

The information covered by this report is used in the annual Community Summary Report on zoonoses that is published each year by EFSA.

¹Directive 2003/99/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2003 on the monitoring of zoonoses and zoonotic agents, amending Decision 90/424/EEC and repealing Council Directive 92/117/EEC, OJ L 325, 17.11.2003, p. 31

List of contents

Information on the reporting and monitoring system	2
Preface	3
List of contents	4
Animal populations.....	5
Salmonellosis	7
Campylobacteriosis	20
Listeriosis	27
<i>E. coli</i> infections.....	30
Tuberculosis, mycobacterial diseases.....	33
Brucellosis	38
Yersiniosis.....	44
Trichinellosis	46
Echinococcosis	50
Toxoplasmosis.....	53
Rabies.....	55
Q-fever	58
Information on specific indicators of antimicrobial resistance	59
Information on specific microbiological agents	61
Foodborne outbreaks.....	62

Animal populations

The relevance of the findings on zoonoses and zoonotic agents has to be related to the size and nature of the animal population in the country.

Sources of information

Data on herds and animals: Register of Production Subsidies.

Data on slaughtered animals: Register of Slaughtered Animals.

Dates the figures relate to and the content of the figures

Data on herds and animals: As of 31 July 2009.

Definitions used for different types of animals, herds, flocks and holdings as well as the types covered by the information

Herd means an animal or group of animals kept on a holding as an epidemiological unit (article 2.3(a) of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003). In Norway, there is generally only one herd of the same animal species per holding.

A flock (poultry) is defined as all poultry of the same health status kept on the same premises or in the same enclosure and constituting a single epidemiological unit; in the case of housed poultry, this includes all birds sharing the same airspace (article 2.3(b) of Regulation (EC) No 2160/2003).

National evaluation of the numbers of susceptible population and trends in these figures

For cattle, swine, sheep, goat and poultry (layers and broilers) there has been a downward trend in the number of herds/holdings during the last decade. However, the average number of animals per herd/holding has increased.

Geographical distribution and size distribution of the herds, flocks and holdings

Cattle: Most of the cattle herds are dairy herds, the average herd size being 20.1 cows. There are also a number of specialized beef herds with an average number of suckling cows of 14.4. A few herds are combined dairy and beef herds. The cattle herds are distributed throughout Norway with the main part being in the western and middle parts of Norway.

Swine: The Norwegian swine population is relatively small with products destined for the national market. A national breeding program is organized by the industry. Approximately 126 approved elite and multiplier breeding herds house 5% of the live sows in the population, while more than 95% of the sows producing piglets for fattening and slaughter are raised in these herds. The swine population is denser in some counties and about 50% of the swine production is concentrated in four counties in the southern and middle part of Norway.

Sheep: The Norwegian sheep flocks are widely distributed over the country, with the largest population found in the southwest. The sheep population consists of combined meat and wool producing breeds, with various Norwegian breeds predominating.

Goat: The Norwegian goat population is principally composed of one Norwegian breed. The main product is milk used for cheese production. The goat flocks are located in some mountainous regions in the southern part of the country, in the fjord districts of the western part, and in the northern counties.

Poultry: The Norwegian poultry production has a hierarchical structure and is strictly regulated. Egg and broiler meat production are the most important branches, but the number of holdings keeping turkey and other species is increasing. The Norwegian layer population consists of two strains (Lohmann white and Shaver white). The layer population is located throughout Norway. The commercial broiler production consists of two strains (Cobb and Ross). The broiler production is mainly located in five counties in the southern and middle part of Norway.

Additional information

The livestock production in Norway is targeted for the national market. Until 1999 there was a general ban on the import of live animals and animal products to Norway. Following the extension of the European Economic Area (EEA) Agreement 1 January 1999 regarding Veterinary and Phytosanitary matters, the general ban was lifted. However, imports of live animals remained limited.

Table Susceptible animal population

	Number of holdings (flocks)	Livestock numbers (live animals)	Number of slaughtered animals ⁵
Cattle			
Dairy cows and heifers	10 800		
Mixed herds	950		
Meat production animals ¹	5 700		
In total	17 400	876 300	322 900
Deer²	75	6 800	
Gallus gallus (fowl)			
Grandparent breeding flocks – egg production line	3 (6)		
Parent breeding flocks – egg production line ³	10 (24)		
Parent breeding flocks – meat production line ³	80 (157)		
Laying hens ⁴	650 (1000)		988 200
Broilers	640 (4 200)		62 234 900
Goats			
Milk goats	450	37 700	
In total	1 300	67 800	22 400
Pigs			
Breeding animals	1 500	58 700	
Fattening pigs	2 300		
In total	2 500	828 600	1 497 200
Sheep			
Animals over 1 years	14 700	877 400	
In total	14 800	2 228 200	1 140 600
Solipeds – horses			1 300
Turkeys, ducks and geese			
Parent breeding flocks ³	10 (25)		
In total ⁶	78 (530)		1 388 600

Numbers >100 are rounded to the nearest ten, numbers >1000 are rounded to the nearest hundred.

¹ Of the 5 700 meat production herds, 4 100 have suckling cows.

² Data as of 1.8.2009.

³ Only production flocks.

⁴ Only flocks >250 birds.

⁵ Data from 2009 not available, data from 2008 is used.

⁶ Only flocks >25 birds.

Salmonellosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The situation regarding *Salmonella* in feedingstuffs, animals and food produced in Norway has for many years been very good. Approximately 75-80% of the cases of salmonellosis in humans are acquired abroad.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

There is no alarming development in the number of salmonellosis cases in humans, neither for domestic nor imported cases. However, there seem to have been a slightly increasing trend in domestic infections during the last decade.

For feedingstuffs and animals, the situation is very good and has been so for many years. Regarding food, the food produced in Norway is virtually free from *Salmonella*. Risk of exposure is mainly associated with international trade in food.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programmes have documented that so far live cattle, swine, and poultry in Norway as well as domestically produced food products of animal origin are virtually free from *Salmonella*. Each year, approximately 75-80% of reported cases of salmonellosis in humans have acquired the infection abroad. This illustrates that domestic food products of animal origin represent a small risk to the consumer in regard to *Salmonella*, an assumption that is supported by case control studies.

Salmonellosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome.

Case definition

A case from which *Salmonella* other than *S. Typhi* and *S. Paratyphi* has been isolated or a clinical compatible case with either an epidemiological link to a culture confirmed case or serology indicating recent infection.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriology (isolation of the agent from a clinical sample) followed by confirmation, including serotyping and sometimes genotyping, at the National Reference Laboratory.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1975.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The recorded incidence of salmonellosis in Norway has increased during the last three decades with a sharp rise in the early 1980s due to the emergence of *S. Enteritidis*. In the majority of cases of salmonellosis (approximately 80%), the patients have acquired the disease abroad. The number of reported cases of salmonellosis corresponds well with charter tourism to foreign countries; in years with an increased charter tourism, such as in the mid-1980s and in the period 1992-1998, the incidence of salmonellosis also increased, whereas in years with a lower charter tourism activity due to economical depression, such as in the period 1988-1991, the incidence of salmonellosis dropped.

Since 1998, the incidence of salmonellosis has levelled off. However, an increase was noted during 2001, mostly due to a few large outbreaks. Since 1984, *S. Enteritidis* has become the most common serovar reported, except in 1987 when it was surpassed by *S. Typhimurium* due to a domestic outbreak traced to contaminated chocolate bars. While *S. Typhimurium* predominated in earlier years, *S. Enteritidis* has increased substantially from a low level in 1975-1982 to a higher level from the mid-1990s. No increase of similar magnitude has been observed for any other serovar. The proportion of imported cases of *S. Enteritidis* infections is particularly high (approximately 90% among patients with known place of acquisition) as this pathogen is not established in the Norwegian poultry production. Among domestic cases, *S. Typhimurium* is the most common serovar. This serovar, although not established among food

producing animals in Norway, does occur in the Norwegian environment such as in wild birds and hedgehogs.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 1234 cases of salmonellosis were reported (incidence rate 25.4 per 100 000), of which 216 (18%) were infected in Norway. Altogether 554 (45%) of the cases were due to *S. Enteritidis*, of which 44 (8%) were infected in Norway. Altogether, 223 (18%) of the cases were due to *S. Typhimurium*, of which 93 (42%) were domestic cases. The outbreaks are described in the chapter on foodborne outbreaks.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

There was a decrease in the overall number of Salmonella-infections in 2009. Most of the reduction is in the number of patients who have contracted the infection abroad.

For domestically acquired infections, 2006 and 2007 were record years when nearly 400 cases contracted salmonellosis inside Norway, - the highest recorded number since 1987. However, both in 2008 and in 2009, there has been a decrease in the number of patients who get the infection without travelling prior to getting ill. This decrease is probably linked to the decrease in cases who contract salmonellosis abroad, since we assume that a number of the domestic cases are secondary cases to imported infections.

One outbreak was recorded in 2009. Five persons were ill with *Salmonella* Goldcoast after having travelled to Mallorca. Domestic outbreaks of salmonellosis recorded in recent years illustrate that many kinds of foods may be involved in outbreaks, also those of non-animal origin, including imported foods.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

The Norwegian Salmonella Control Programmes have documented that so far live cattle, swine, and poultry in Norway as well as domestically produced food products of animal origin are virtually free from Salmonella. Each year, approximately 75-80% of reported cases of salmonellosis in humans have acquired the infection abroad. This illustrates that domestic food products of animal origin represent a small risk to the consumer in regard to Salmonella, an assumption that is supported by case-control studies.

However, data show that *S. Typhimurium* occurs endemically in the environment representing a risk for spread through wild animals and untreated water. In defined areas, where an endemic situation in the hedgehog and passerine bird populations has been established, annually minor outbreaks and sporadic cases occur.

Additional information

Patients whose work represents a risk for spread of the disease, e.g., in food production and health care, are advised to stay away from such work while they are having symptoms. It is recommended that for these patients three consecutive faecal samples examined after the symptoms have disappeared should be negative before resuming work.

Table Cases of salmonellosis according to place of infection

Serovar	Place of infection				Total	
	Norway	Abroad	Unknown	Total		
<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	44	20.0%	463	52%	47	554
<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	93	43%	108	12%	22	223
<i>S. Stanley</i>	6	3%	37	4%	1	44
<i>S. Virchow</i>	8	4%	29	3%	1	38
<i>S. Newport</i>	5	2%	19	2%	4	28
<i>S. Saintpaul</i>	3	1%	12	1%	6	21
<i>S. Java</i>	3	1%	14	2%	1	18
<i>S. Infantis</i>	3	1%	9	1%	3	15
<i>S. Corvallis</i>	1	0%	12	1%	0	13
<i>S. Heidelberg</i>	0	0%	12	1%	1	13
Others	50	23%	181	20%	36	267
Total	216	100%	896	100%	122	1234

Salmonella in foodstuffs

A. *Salmonella* spp. in eggs and egg products

Eggs and egg products are monitored indirectly by monitoring of the layer population, see chapter on *Salmonella* spp. in animals. Additional testing of egg products is carried out by the food business operators as an integral part of their own check procedures.

B. *Salmonella* spp. in poultry meat and products thereof

Poultry meat and products thereof are monitored indirectly by testing all poultry flocks before slaughter see chapter on *Salmonella* in animals. Additional testing at the slaughterhouses or cutting plants is not required. Surveys are performed occasionally.

C. *Salmonella* spp. in red meat (pig, cattle, sheep) and products thereof

Monitoring system

At slaughterhouse and cutting plant: The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme: Each year, a number of carcass swabs (pig, cattle, sheep) and lymph node samples (pig, cattle) are collected randomly from the animal population at slaughterhouse according to the slaughter volume. The sampling of carcass swabs is described in this chapter, the sampling of lymph nodes is described in the chapter on *Salmonella* in animals. Samples of crushed meat are each year collected according to production capacity of cutting plants.

At meat processing plant: Samples according to Council Directive 94/65/EC (still in force in Norway 2009).

Frequency of the sampling

At slaughterhouse: Detection of an annual prevalence of 0.1% by 95% confidence level.

At cutting plant: According to production capacity: less than 2 tons: twice a year, 2-20tons: once a month, greater than 20 tons: once a week.

At meat processing plant: Samples according to Council Directive 94/65/EC (still in force in Norway 2009).

Type of specimen taken

At slaughterhouse: Surface of carcass.

At cutting plant: Crushed meat from equipment or trimmings.

At meat processing plant: Samples according to Council Directive 94/65/EC (still in force in Norway 2009).

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

At slaughterhouse: The upper inner part of the hind legs/pelvic entrance and the cut surface area of the abdomen and chest are swabbed, covering an area of approximately 1400 cm² of each carcass.

At cutting plant: Each sample consists of 25 grams of meat.

At meat processing plant: Samples according to Council Directive 94/65/EC (still in force in Norway 2009).

Definition of positive finding

A positive sample is a sample from which *Salmonella* has been isolated.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriological method: NMKL No 71:1999

Control program/mechanisms/notification system in place

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme is mandatory. Detection of *Salmonella*, irrespective of serovar, is notifiable.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Whenever *Salmonella* is detected in samples taken in the National Control Programmes, the competent authorities must be notified without delay. Actions will be taken to identify and eliminate the source of the contamination in order to prevent further spread. However, in the sheep population in some regions, *S. diarizonae* is endemic. When this serovar is detected in sheep, less extensive measures are carried out.

When *Salmonella* is detected in food already on the market, contaminated food will be withdrawn from the market and destroyed or, exceptionally, submitted to processing by a treatment eliminating the hazard, and investigation into the source of the contamination initiated if relevant. If *Salmonella* is detected in food controls at the Border Inspection Posts, the consignments will be either rejected or destroyed or, exceptionally, submitted to processing by a treatment eliminating the hazard.

Results of the investigation

Pig

In 2009, a total of 2029 carcasses were swabbed, all were negative. None of the samples of crushed meat from pig were positive. For details, see tables.

Cattle

In 2009, a total of 2097 carcasses were swabbed, all were negative for *Salmonella*. One sample of crushed bovine meat was positive for *Salmonella* Dublin. For details, see tables.

Sheep

In 2009, a total of 1742 carcasses were swabbed, one was positive for *S. diarizonae* (61:k:1,5,7). For details, see tables.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programmes document that domestically produced food products of animal origin are virtually free from *Salmonella*. The surveillance data indicate that the overall prevalence is below 0.1%.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

Red and white meat produced in Norway is virtually free from *Salmonella*, and the risk of contracting *Salmonella* from domestically produced animal products is small. A connection between meat or meat products of domestic origin and human infection has never been established.

Table *Salmonella* in foodstuffs

	Source of information	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units positive for <i>Salmonella</i> spp.	<i>S. Dublin</i>	<i>S. diarizonae</i> (61:k:1,5,7)
Pig - carcass swabs	NSCP ¹	swab	2029	0		
Cattle - carcass swabs	NSCP	swab	2097	0		
Sheep - carcass swabs	NSCP	swab	1742	1		1
Crushed red meat (pig, cattle, sheep) ²	NSCP	25g	1184	1	1	
Fish - wild catch	NIFES	25g	113	0		
Fish - farmed	NIFES	25g	29	0		
Crustaceans	NIFES	25g	11	0		
Molluscan shellfish	NIFES	25 g	92	0		

¹NSCP = Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme

² The isolate was from cattle meat.

Salmonella in animals

A. *Salmonella* spp. in poultry (*Gallus gallus*, turkeys, ducks, geese)

Monitoring system

Breeding flocks

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme includes all poultry breeding flocks. Sampling of breeding flocks of *Gallus gallus* is established pursuant to Article 5 of Regulation (EC) 2160/2003 and approved by the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) (364/07/COL). Sampling takes place at the initiative of the food business operator and by the Competent Authority according to Regulation (EC) 1003/2005. The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programmes also include all breeder flocks of ducks, geese, turkeys and guinea fowl. Other strategies: Animals are tested in relation to clinical surveillance and import. Norway is also granted additional guaranties according to Commission decision 2003/644/EC.

Laying hens flocks

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme: All laying hen flocks are tested at the farm. Sampling takes place at the initiative of the food business operator and by the Competent Authority according to Regulation (EC) 1168/2006.

Other strategies: Animals are tested in relation to clinical surveillance and import. Additional guaranties according to Commission decision 2004/235/EC also applies to Norway.

Meat producing flocks (broilers, turkeys, ducks, geese)

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme: All poultry flocks are tested before slaughter. Sampling takes place at the initiative of the food business operator and by the Competent Authority once a year according to Regulation (EC) 646/2007. If poultry for slaughter are imported, additional guaranties according to 95/410/EC applies.

Frequency of the sampling

Breeding flocks

Day-old chicks: Every flock is sampled.

Rearing period: Every flock is sampled twice.

Production period: Every second week.

Laying hens

Day-old chicks: Every flock is sampled.

Rearing period: 2 weeks prior to moving.

Production period: Every 15 weeks.

Before slaughter at farm: Every flock for slaughter is sampled.

Meat producing flocks (broilers, turkeys, ducks, geese)

Before slaughter at farm: Every flock is sampled

Type of specimen taken

Breeding flocks and laying hens

Day-old chicks: Internal linings of delivery boxes.

Rearing period, production period: Socks/boot swabs.

Before slaughter at farm: Socks/boot swabs or faeces (caged birds).

Meat producing flocks (broilers, turkeys, ducks, geese)

Before slaughter at farm: Socks/boot swabs.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Breeding flocks

Day-old chicks: All flocks: Transport crates are tested (crate liners or swabs).

Rearing period: All flocks: Tested at 4 weeks of age and 2 weeks before moving by two pairs of socks.

Production period: All flocks: Tested every 2nd week by five pairs of socks (caged birds: faecal samples).

Laying hens

Day-old chicks: All flocks: Transport crates are tested (crate liners or swabs).

Rearing period: All flocks: Tested two weeks before moving by 2 pair of socks (caged birds: faeces).

Production period: All flocks: Tested every 15 weeks by two pairs of socks (caged birds: faeces).

Before slaughter: All flocks for slaughter: Tested before slaughter by 2 pair of socks (caged birds: faeces).

Meat producing flocks (broilers, turkeys, ducks, geese)

Before slaughter at farm: Every flock is sampled by two pairs of socks.

Case definition

A positive flock is a flock from which *Salmonella* (irrespective of serovar) has been isolated from at least one sample.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriological method: ISO 6579:2002.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination against *Salmonella* is prohibited in Norway.

Control program/mechanisms/notification system in place

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme is mandatory. Detection of *Salmonella*, irrespective of serovar, has been notifiable since 1965.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Whenever *Salmonella* is detected, the competent authorities must be notified without delay. Also, relevant food business operators, such as slaughterhouses, hatcheries, and egg collecting centres receiving animals or animal products from an infected animal holding must be informed. Stringent restrictions including cleaning and disinfection, control of animal movement and control of person admission will be imposed on an infected animal holding. Infected animals must be isolated from other animals. Whenever *Salmonella* is detected, epidemiological investigations also including the feed suppliers will be initiated in order to identify and eliminate the source of infection. If *Salmonella* is detected, the whole flock will be destroyed or subjected to sanitation slaughter. Eggs from hatcheries where *Salmonella* has been detected will be destroyed or pasteurized. If *Salmonella* is detected in chicks, all chicks from the same hatchery machine must be destroyed. Farms that have received infected chicks will be considered infected and restrictions will be imposed on these farms as well. Restrictions will be lifted when infected rooms have been cleaned and disinfected, bacteriological testing gives a negative test result, and the rooms have been empty for at least 30 days following cleaning and disinfection.

Results of the investigation

Gallus gallus breeding flocks

In 2009, a total of 126 rearing flocks and 187 production flocks were tested, all were negative for *Salmonella*. For details, see table.

Gallus gallus laying hen flocks

In 2009, a total of 1031 flocks were tested, all were negative. For details, see table.

Gallus gallus broiler flocks

In 2009, 4243 broiler flocks (batches for slaughter) were investigated, and one was positive for *S. Typhimurium* DT40. For details, see table.

Other poultry (turkeys, ducks, geese)

In 2009, none of the Norwegian duck or turkey breeder flocks were positive. None of the production flocks were positive.

In addition to the Control Programme, samples have been taken in relation to clinical problems, follow up or various projects. None of these samples were positive for *Salmonella*. For details, see table.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The favourable *Salmonella* situation in Norwegian poultry is partly dependent upon an efficient control of breeding flocks. Due to extensive surveillance during many years, stringent measures in case of positive findings, and restricted import, poultry breeding flocks in Norway are virtually free from *Salmonella*. *S. Agona* was found in a broiler parent flock in 2001. *S. Enteritidis* was from the first time detected in Norwegian poultry production in a broiler flock in 2007. *S. Enteritidis* has never been detected in Norwegian breeding flocks or in laying hens. The duck, geese and turkey population in Norway is small. A few times, positive commercial flocks have been found, the last time two turkey flocks in 2000 positive for *S. Aberdeen* and *S. Typhimurium*, respectively.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programmes have documented that so far poultry in Norway as well as domestically produced poultry products are virtually free from *Salmonella*. Each year, approximately 75-80% of reported cases of salmonellosis in humans have acquired the infection abroad. This illustrates that domestic food products of animal origin represent a small risk to the consumer in regard to *Salmonella*, an assumption that is supported by case-control studies.

Table *Salmonella* in poultry and other birds

	Number of existing flocks	Source of information	Sampling unit	Total units tested (official and industry sampling)	Units tested by official sampling ²	Total units positive for <i>Salmonella</i> spp.
Gallus gallus (fowl)						
Grandparents (egg line) – production period	6	NSCP ¹	flock	6	5	0
Parents - egg line – rearing period	36	NSCP	flock	36	2	0
Parents - egg line – production period	24	NSCP	flock	24	12	0
Parents - meat line – rearing period	90	NSCP	flock	90	13	0
Parents - meat line – production period	157	NSCP	flock	157	157	0
Laying hens – rearing period	156	NSCP	flock	156	8	0
Laying hens – production period	1031	NSCP	flock	1031	469	0
Broilers	4243	NSCP	batch	4243	237	1 ³
Unspecified		NVI	holding	19		0
Ducks						
Breeding flocks	6	NSCP	flock	6	1	0
Meat production flocks	68	NSCP	batch	68	4	0
Unspecified		NVI	flock	1		0
Geese						
Breeding flocks	2	NFSA	flock	2	2	0
Meat production flocks	4	NFSA	batch	4	2	0
Turkeys						
Breeding flocks	19	NSCP	flock	19	10	0
Meat production flocks	455	NSCP	batch	455	41	0
Unspecified		NVI	flock	9		0
Other domestic birds (mainly pet psittacine birds)		NVI	animal	41		0
Wild birds		NVI	animal	21		0

¹ NSCP = Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme

² This column only relevant for the samples included in NSCP.

³ *S. Typhimurium*.

Footnote: The samples reported from NVI (National Veterinary Institute) are mainly from clinical investigations, but might also represent import controls and other reasons for sampling.

B. *Salmonella* spp. in pigs and cattle

Monitoring system

Pig breeding herds: The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme: All elite breeding herds are tested.

Pig multiplying herds: The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme: Each year, a number of lymph node samples and carcass swabs are collected randomly from the sow population at slaughterhouse according to the slaughter volume. The sampling of lymph nodes is described in this chapter, the sampling of carcass swabs is described in the chapter on *Salmonella* in foodstuffs.

Cattle and pig fattening herds: The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme: Each year, a number of lymph node samples and carcass swabs are collected randomly from the animal population at slaughterhouses according to the slaughter volume. The sampling of lymph nodes is described in this chapter, the sampling of carcass swabs is described in the chapter on *Salmonella* in foodstuffs.

Other strategies: Animals are tested in relation to clinical surveillance and import.

Frequency of the sampling

Breeding herds: Once a year.

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach): Detection of an animal prevalence level of 0.1% by 95% confidence.

Type of specimen taken

Breeding herds: Faeces.

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach): Lymph nodes.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Animals at farm: If there are clinical problems with diarrhoea, faecal samples will be taken.

Breeding herds: Faecal samples.

Animals at slaughter (herd based approach): From each carcass at least five ileocaecal lymph nodes are aseptically removed and pooled in a plastic bag. All samples are kept refrigerated during the period of sampling and sent to the laboratory the same day.

Case definition

A positive sample is a sample from which *Salmonella* has been isolated.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Faeces: Annex D of ISO 6579.

Lymph nodes: NMKL No 71:1999

Vaccination policy

Vaccination against *Salmonella* is prohibited in Norway.

Control program/mechanisms/notification system in place

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme is mandatory. Detection of *Salmonella*, irrespective of serovar, has been notifiable since 1965.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Whenever *Salmonella* is detected, the competent authorities must be notified without delay. Actions will be taken to identify and eliminate the source of the contamination in order to prevent further spread.

Also, slaughterhouses and food production facilities receiving animals or animal products from an infected animal holding must be informed. Stringent restrictions including cleaning and disinfection, control of animal movement and control of person admission will be imposed on an infected animal holding. Infected animals must be isolated from other animals. Animals are not allowed to be sent to slaughter without permission from the Food Safety Authority and if sent to slaughter, the slaughterhouse must be notified so that sanitation slaughtering can be conducted. Milk from infected cattle herds must be pasteurised.

Whenever *Salmonella* is detected, epidemiological investigations also including the feed suppliers will be initiated in order to identify and eliminate the source of infection. There will be intensified sampling, also on farms that have had contact with the infected holding. Restrictions will be lifted when all animals have been tested with a negative test result in two consecutive samplings with a minimum interval of 30 days. Following lifting of the restrictions, retesting will be conducted after approx. six months.

Results of the investigation

Pigs

In 2009, all of the lymph node samples from 2479 animals sampled in the Norwegian Salmonella Control Programme were negative. None of the 116 herds tested were positive.

In addition, 166 animals from 23 different herds were investigated, mainly due to clinical problems. All these were negative.

Cattle

In 2009, a total of 2441 animals were sampled in the Norwegian Salmonella Control Programme. All samples were negative for *Salmonella*.

In addition, 934 animals from 148 different herds were investigated, mainly due to clinical problems. One herd was positive for *S. Typhimurium*.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programmes document that Norwegian food producing animals are virtually free from *Salmonella*. The surveillance data indicate that the overall prevalence is below 0.1%.

C. *Salmonella* spp. in other animals

Monitoring system

Described here is *Salmonella* in sheep and goats and other animal species than food producing animals, such as pets, zoo animals, reptiles and wild life. Sampling is done in relation to clinical surveillance and import.

Case definition

A positive animal is an animal from which *Salmonella*, irrespective of serovar, has been isolated.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination against *Salmonella* is prohibited in Norway.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Whenever *Salmonella* is detected, the competent authorities must be notified without delay. Unless the finding is in a wild animal, epidemiological investigations will be initiated in order to identify and eliminate the source of infection.

Notification system in place

Detection of *Salmonella*, irrespective of serovar, has been notifiable since 1965.

Results of the investigation

For details see table. In addition to the results presented above and in the tables, animals may have been sampled due to clinical problems, follow up or various projects. None of these samples have been positive.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

A substantial proportion of the *S. Typhimurium* infections in humans are indigenous. This serovar, although not established among food animals in Norway, does occur in Norwegian wild birds and hedgehogs, and these two sources have been described to be the source for almost half of all indigenous *S. Typhimurium* cases. These two sources probably also constitutes a risk for food producing animals. Also, reptiles kept as pets pose a risk for transmission to humans.

Table *Salmonella* in animals other than birds

	Source of information	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for <i>Salmonella</i> spp.	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	<i>S. Senftenberg</i>	<i>S. Muenchen</i>	<i>S. diarizonae</i> (61:k:1,5,7)	<i>Salmonella</i> spp.
Cattle									
At slaughterhouse, lymph nodes	NSCP ¹	animal	2441	0					
Clinical investigations ²	NVI	herd	148	1	1				
Sheep									
Clinical investigations ³	NVI	herd	25	5				5	
Goats	NVI	animal	4	0					
Pigs									
Breeding animals – at farm	NSCP	herd	116	0					
Slaughtered animals – lymph nodes	NSCP	animal	2479	0					
Clinical investigations ⁴	NVI	herd	23	0					
Solipeds, domestic⁵	NVI	animal	59	2	2				
Alpacas/lamas	NVI	animal	48	0					
Deer - farmed	NVI	animal	6	0					
Cats	NVI	animal	110	0					
Dogs	NVI	animal	372	12	9	1		1	1
Fur animals - farmed	NVI	animal	1	0					
Pets (rabbit, chinchilla etc.)	NVI	animal	9	0					
Wild animals	NVI	animal	3	0					
Zoo animals⁶	NVI	animal	17	13			3		10

¹ NSCP = Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programme.

² A total of 934 sampled animals.

³ A total of 40 sampled animals.

⁴ A total of 166 sampled animals.

⁵ The two positive animals had the same owner.

⁶ A total of 13 reptiles from 2 zoos and 4 hedgehogs from 1 zoo. All isolates from reptiles were positive: The isolates listed in the column *Salmonella* spp. are: *S. Amsterdam* (1), *S. Apapa* (1), *S. Paratyphi B* (1), *S. diarizonae* (7 (4 different serovars)).

Footnote: The samples reported from NVI (National Veterinary Institute) are mainly from clinical investigations, but might also represent import controls and other reasons for sampling.

Salmonella in feedingstuffs

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Norway has for many years performed an extensive surveillance of feedingstuffs and imposed stringent measures in case of positive findings. The import of animal feedingstuffs has also been restricted for many years. The result is that the feedingstuffs that Norwegian livestock are exposed to for many years have been virtually free from *Salmonella*.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Extensive surveillance systems for *Salmonella* in regard to feedingstuffs are established in order to prevent animals from being exposed to contaminated feed. Feedingstuffs for both terrestrial animals and fish are covered by surveillance programmes. The surveillance programmes document a low prevalence of *Salmonella* in domestically produced animal compound feedingstuffs. However, data from process control, including environmental sampling, indicates that there are certain serovars that sometimes contaminate production facilities, especially those producing fish feed.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

The favourable *Salmonella* situation in animals and humans in Norway is partly dependant upon the efficient control of animal feedingstuffs. The number of animals infected from feedingstuffs is probably very low, and this route of infection probably represents a negligible risk to humans.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Detection of *Salmonella* is notifiable and the establishment must take immediate actions to prevent the distribution of contaminated feed. Contaminated feed will either be destroyed, heat or acid treated. In general, complete feedingstuffs and protein concentrates (supplementary feedingstuffs) intended for poultry, pigs, and cattle are subjected to heat treatment of at least 81 degrees Celsius core temperature, and the production has to take place in a production line where all the other feedingstuffs are heat treated. According to the regulations for production of feedingstuffs, feed mills are required to have an internal (process) control programme implemented. This includes a sampling scheme for *Salmonella* of minimum 3 samples per 14 days (all poultry feed mills and pig and cattle feed mills with a capacity above 10,000 tons per year) or every fourth week (pig and cattle feed mills with a capacity below 10,000 tons per year). Samples include raw materials and scrapings from control points. The national production of meat and bone meal is subject to a continuous process control that includes analyses for *Salmonella*.

Establishments preparing feed for fur animals are required to analyse a minimum of one sample for *Salmonella* per month. Through an official surveillance programme random samples of feedingstuffs for terrestrial animals are collected and analysed for the presence of *Salmonella*.

Imported feed materials of vegetable origin must be subjected to control for *Salmonella* before distribution or use. The number of samples depends on the size of the load and whether the feedingstuffs are classified as high-risk (soy beans, maize, cotton seed, etc.) or low-risk materials. Imported feed of animal origin, predominantly pet feed, is controlled at one of the Border Inspection Posts according to Council Directives 97/78/EEC and 89/662/EEC. Dog treats made from hides that are imported from third countries must be accompanied with a certificate that documents that the lot has been controlled for *Salmonella*. At the Border Inspection Posts, sampling is done according to a specific scheme.

Establishments producing fish feed are required to establish and maintain an internal (process) control based on the HACCP-system according to the regulation for fish feed. A minimum of four samples per 14 days should be examined with respect to *Salmonella*. If *Salmonella* is detected, the Norwegian Food Safety Authority must be notified immediately. Through an official surveillance programme described in the regulation for feedingstuffs for fish, random samples of feedingstuffs for fish are collected at the establishments and analysed for the presence of *Salmonella*.

Feed materials, including fish meal, imported from third countries must be subjected to control for *Salmonella* according to a specified plan before distribution or use. A minimum of one sample per 50 tons must be tested for the presence of *Salmonella*. Establishments producing fish meal or fish oil are required to establish and maintain an internal (process) control based on the HACCP-system according to the regulation for fish meal and fish oil. This control includes analyses for *Salmonella*. A minimum of one sample per 50 tons must be tested for the presence of *Salmonella*.

In addition to the surveillance run by the government or the industry itself, feedingstuffs are also subjected to analyses for *Salmonella* in relation to epidemiological investigations and specific surveys and studies.

Table *Salmonella* in feedingstuffs

	Source of information	Total units tested	Units tested in official controls	Units tested in surveillance by industry	Total units positive for <i>Salmonella</i> spp. ¹
Feed matter					
Barley derived	NFSA	1	0	1	0
Wheat derived	NFSA	91	0	91	0
Oat derived	NFSA	5	0	5	0
Cereal grain derived (not specified)	NFSA	14	14	0	0
Maize (including maize derived)	NFSA	156	0	156	0
Rape seed derived	NFSA	245	0	245	0
Soya(bean) derived	NFSA	3096	0	3096	106
Sunflower seed derived	NFSA	90	0	90	4
Oil seed or fruit origin (not specified)	NFSA	34	34	0	0
Legume seeds and similar products	NFSA	152	0	152	0
Tubers, roots and similar products	NFSA	24	0	24	0
Meat and bone meal	NFSA	315	0	315	4
Fish meal	NFSA	193	7	186	7
Fish oil	NFSA	8	0	8	0
Compound feedingstuffs for					
cattle	NFSA	2		2	0
poultry	NFSA	100	100	0	0
fish	NFSA	2806	0	2806	7
fur animals	NFSA	404	1	403	0
horses	NFSA	2	2	0	0
pets	NFSA	17	17	0	0
Environmental samples including process control samples – not specified to production	NFSA	13193	0	13193	108

¹ The positive samples were mainly imported feed material or environmental samples.

Antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* isolates

Sampling strategy used in monitoring

All *Salmonella* found in production animals, irrespective if they are found in the Norwegian *Salmonella* Control Programmes or in connection with clinical problems, surveys or other investigations, are included in the resistance monitoring (only one isolate per herd). *Salmonella* isolated from other animals may be susceptibility tested as well. Exceptions from the rules described above are that not all *S. diarizonae* from sheep or *S. Typhimurium* from wild birds and wild animals or *Salmonella* from reptiles, wild animals or zoo animals are tested every year. For description of the Norwegian *Salmonella* Control programmes, see the parts describing *Salmonella* in the various animal species.

Type of specimen taken

For description of the Norwegian *Salmonella* Control programmes, see the parts describing *Salmonella* in the various animal species. Other samples taken vary depending on the situation.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

For description of the Norwegian *Salmonella* Control programmes, see the parts describing *Salmonella* in the various animal species. Other sampling methods vary depending on the situation.

Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing and methods used for collecting data
Only one isolate per herd is selected for antimicrobial testing. *Salmonella* is isolated at various laboratories and sent to the National Veterinary Institute in Oslo for testing of antimicrobial susceptibility.

Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

Normally, ISO 6579:2002 or NMKL No 71:1999 are used for isolation of *Salmonella*. However, isolates may have been obtained by other methods as well.

Laboratory methods used for detection for resistance

The VetMIC microdilution method (Dept. of Antibiotics, National Veterinary Institute, Sweden) is used for the susceptibility testing of all isolates. The antimicrobials included are listed in the tables.

Breakpoints used in testing

For interpretation of results epidemiological cut-off values recommended by EFSA were applied.

Control program/mechanisms

The resistance testing of *Salmonella* isolated from animals is a part of the Norwegian monitoring programme for antimicrobial resistance in feed, food and animals - NORM-VET.

Results

The qualitative data are presented in the table. Quantitative data as well as data on breakpoints and range of testing are presented in the NORM/NORM-VET 2009 report.

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Salmonella* spp.

	S. Typhimurium - cattle	S. Typhimurium - broiler	S. Typhimurium - horse	S. Typhimurium - dog	<i>Salmonella</i> spp. - dogs ¹
Number of isolates tested	1	1	1	8	2
Number of isolates resistant to					
Tetracycline	0	0	0	3	1
Chloramphenicol	0	0	0	3	0
Cefotaxime	0	0	0	0	0
Ciprofloxacin	0	0	0	1	0
Nalidixic acid	0	0	0	1	0
Sulfamethoxazole	0	0	0	3	1
Trimethoprim	0	0	0	0	0
Streptomycin	0	0	0	3	1
Gentamicin	0	0	0	0	0
Ampicillin	0	0	0	3	1

¹ One each of *S. Senftenberg* and *S. enterica* subsp. *enterica*.

Campylobacteriosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Norwegian studies have shown that many species of wild birds, especially crows and seagulls, are frequent carriers of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. Thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. have also been isolated from poultry, dogs, cats, pigs, sheep, cattle, and flies, and sporadically from wild mammals. Before 2001, when the surveillance programme in broilers was implemented, the prevalence of thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. in Norwegian broiler flocks had been studied twice. In 1990, 18% of the flocks tested were infected, whereas this proportion in 1997-1998 had decreased to 4%. This reduction was attributed to an increased focus on the importance of biosecurity. The Action Plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers that started in 2001 has shown that the yearly incidence of broiler flocks being positive for *Campylobacter* has been 6.3%, 4.9%, 3.3%, 3.6%, 4.9%, 5.7% and 4.1% in 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007 and 2008, respectively. The number of flocks going positive out on the market has been reduced from 127 in 2002 to 58 in 2007. The data from 2008 and 2009 are not directly comparable to previous years, but the percentage of positive flocks in 2008 was probably approximately the same as in 2007 and the results from 2009 probably slightly better. The number of positive flocks out on the market was probably similar in 2008 and 2009 and slightly higher than in 2007.

In 1998, campylobacteriosis for the first time surpassed salmonellosis as the most frequently reported bacterial cause of acute human gastroenteritis in Norway, and since then the reported incidence of campylobacteriosis has been above that of salmonellosis. Since the beginning of the 1990s and until it peaked in 2001, there was a major increase in the incidence of campylobacteriosis in Norway, both in domestic and imported cases. Usually, 50-60% of the cases are imported.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The reported human incidence in 2009 was slightly lower than the incidence reported in 2008. The data on prevalence in broiler flocks in 2009 were not as complete as the data from the period 2001 - 2007, but we assume that there is no major change in the prevalence. We also assume that in 2009, as in earlier years, the majority of the positive flocks were detected before slaughter, and were therefore treated (i.e. frozen or heat treated) before they went on the market. The use of untreated water is considered an important source of campylobacteriosis in Norway.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

The poultry production and poultry consumption has increased during the last years. Even if the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers have largely reduced the number of *Campylobacter* positive broiler carcasses entering the market, there are still positive broiler carcasses on the market. In addition, other food products may also be positive for *Campylobacter*. An important source of human campylobacteriosis in Norway is the use of untreated water, in private homes and cottages and during camping and hiking.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

The implementation of the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers in 2001 was a direct response from the authorities, scientific institutions and the industry to the major increase in human campylobacteriosis that was seen during the late 1990s and up to 2001.

Campylobacteriosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome.

Case definition

A case from which *Campylobacter* spp. has been isolated or a clinical compatible case with an epidemiological link to a culture confirmed case.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriology (isolation of *Campylobacter* species from faecal samples) followed by voluntary confirmation (species identification and biotyping) at the National Reference Laboratory. Due to the methods applied, *C. lari* and *C. upsaliensis* are probably underdiagnosed.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1991.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Since the beginning of the 1990s and until it peaked in 2001, there was a significant increase in the incidence of campylobacteriosis in Norway. From 1997 to 2001, the incidence increased by ~145%. In 1998, campylobacteriosis for the first time surpassed salmonellosis as the most frequently reported bacterial cause of acute gastroenteritis in Norway, and since then the reported incidence of campylobacteriosis has been above that of salmonellosis. Usually, 50-60% of the cases are imported. The increased incidences observed throughout the 1990s and until 2001 were due to a rising number of both domestic and imported cases. The number of cases, both domestic and imported declined in 2002 and was stable during the period from 2002 to 2004. In 2005, the number of cases increased again and the number of domestic and imported cases were for the first time almost the same. In 2006 the number of imported cases were stable and the number of domestic cases decreased compared to 2005. Also in 2007, the incidence of imported cases raised compared to the domestic cases. The number of imported cases decreased again in 2009 and the number of domestic cases increased to the same level as in 2001 and 2005. Most cases are sporadic. A case control study conducted in Norway during 1999-2000 identified consumption of untreated drinking water, consumption of poultry meat purchased fresh, consumption of barbecued meat, and professional contact with animals as significant risk factors in regard to campylobacteriosis. Daily contact with dogs/cats was identified as a risk factor in case control studies conducted during the early 1990s, but was not identified as a risk factor in the 1999-2000 study. Studies indicate that the vast majority (~95%) of reported cases are due to *C. jejuni*, and that *C. coli* is the cause of most of the remaining cases.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 2850 cases (incidence rate 58.7 per 100 000) were reported of which 1337 (47%) were known to be imported, 1255 (44%) were domestic and 258 (9%) had an unknown place of infection. Altogether four foodborne outbreaks of campylobacteriosis were registered. No deaths due to campylobacteriosis were reported.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The number of reported domestic cases increased in 2009 compared to 2008. The incidence of domestic human campylobacteriosis has been relatively stable with more than 1000 cases during the last five years, but the overall occurrence of positive broiler flocks is low. Therefore, there must be other important sources to human campylobacteriosis apart from poultry products in Norway, untreated drinking water probably being the most important one.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

Campylobacter is the most frequently reported cause of bacterial gastroenteritis in Norway. Every year, approximately half of the reported cases have acquired the infection in Norway.

Additional information

Patients whose work represents a risk for spread of the disease, e.g., in food production and health care, are advised to stay away from such work while they are having symptoms. It is recommended that for these patients two consecutive faecal samples examined after the symptoms have disappeared should be negative before returning to work.

Table Campylobacteriosis cases infected in Norway 2005 - 2009. Incidence rate (IR) per 100.000 inhabitants 2009

County	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	IR 2009
Østfold	52	31	37	62	52	19.2
Akershus	94	90	92	99	88	16.4
Oslo	108	80	93	86	129	22.0
Hedmark	31	42	31	43	53	27.8
Oppland	48	57	39	62	45	24.3
Buskerud	58	58	47	47	65	25.3
Vestfold	28	43	36	69	47	20.3
Telemark	35	32	36	33	41	24.4
Aust-Agder	27	33	16	20	13	12.0
Vest-Agder	40	32	27	20	22	12.9
Rogaland	164	170	198	157	162	37.9
Hordaland	225	159	154	125	174	36.9
Sogn & Fjordane	36	28	26	20	44	41.1
Møre & Romsdal	55	50	53	39	64	25.5
Sør-Trøndelag	93	87	114	107	120	41.3
Nord-Trøndelag	17	20	39	36	40	30.4
Nordland	37	33	50	33	40	16.9
Troms	43	42	50	33	34	21.7
Finnmark	14	10	15	11	22	30.2
Total	1205	1097	1153	1102	1255	25.9

Campylobacter in foodstuffs

Thermophilic *Campylobacter* in broiler meat and products thereof

Monitoring system

See chapter on *Campylobacter* in *Gallus gallus*.

Methods of sampling/definition of positive finding

See chapter on *Campylobacter* in *Gallus gallus*.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriological method: NMKL no 119, 2007.

Preventive measures in place

In the surveillance programme, the broiler flocks found positive before slaughter are subjected to freezing for at least 3 weeks or heat treatment.

Control program/mechanisms

The Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers is a surveillance programme agreed upon by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority, scientific institutions and the poultry industry.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

The establishment of the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers was a direct response to the major increase in the incidence of human campylobacteriosis during the 1990s.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

See chapter on *Campylobacter* in *Gallus gallus*.

Notification system in place

All findings in the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers are reported and published as summary reports.

Results of the investigation

The results from the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers are presented in the chapter on *Campylobacter* in *Gallus gallus*.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The Norwegian campylobacteriosis situation is a concern for the authorities. The establishment of the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* sp. in broilers in 2001 was a response to the urgent situation. This action plan has since it was established and through 2009 prevented more than 13 million *Campylobacter* positive broiler carcasses from entering the market raw.

Campylobacter in animals

Thermophilic *Campylobacter* in *Gallus gallus*

Monitoring system

A surveillance programme in broilers was implemented in May 2001 (part of the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers).

Frequency of the sampling

Before slaughter at farm: Between 1 May and 31 October, which corresponds with the high season for *Campylobacter* positive flocks, every flock is sampled.

At slaughter: Flocks where the result from the pre slaughter sample is lacking at the time of slaughter are sampled by staff at the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Type of specimen taken

Before slaughter at farm: Faeces

At slaughter: Caecum

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Before slaughter at farm: 10 swabs from fresh faecal droppings are taken by the owner maximum four days before slaughter. They are transported dry as one pooled sample to the laboratory.

At slaughter: 10 caecae are sampled at the slaughter line. The 10 samples are pooled to one at the laboratory.

Case definition

Before slaughter at farm: A flock where *Campylobacter* spp. is found.

At slaughter: A slaughter batch where *Campylobacter* spp. is found.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Before slaughter at farm: PCR Real Time PCR

At slaughter: NMKL no 119, 2007 with modification (no enrichment)

Vaccination policy

There is no vaccination against *Campylobacter* in Norway.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Farms producing *Campylobacter* positive flocks are subject to follow-up visits from the advisors in the industry and veterinary supervisors from the Norwegian Food Safety Authority to assist in implementing measures preventing further flocks to be infected with *Campylobacter*.

Control program/mechanisms

The Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers is a surveillance programme agreed upon by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority, scientific institutions and the poultry industry. The surveillance programme is compulsory.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

The establishment of the Norwegian action plan against *Campylobacter* in broilers was a direct response to the major increase in the incidence of human campylobacteriosis during the 1990s.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Carcasses from flocks that are positive for thermophilic *Campylobacter* sp. based upon the pre-slaughter sampling are either subjected to heat-treatment or frozen for a minimum of three weeks. The poultry industry uses data from the surveillance programme as an incentive for improving the hygienic conditions on broiler farms.

Notification system in place

All positive flocks in the surveillance programme are reported to the authorities.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, in the period 1 May - 31 October, a total of 1924 samples (representing approx 1924 flocks, and covering virtually all slaughtered flocks in Norway in that period) were taken approximately four days before slaughter. A total of 117 samples (6.1%) were positive for *Campylobacter* spp. In addition - a total of 7 samples were taken at slaughter due to lack of results from the pre-slaughter sample.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The poultry production has increased in Norway during the last years. There has been a reduction in the prevalence of flocks being positive for *Campylobacter* since 2002. Until 2005 there was a declining trend. Since then, however, the prevalence has slowly increased again. The yearly prevalence from 2002 to 2007 has been 6.3%, 4.9%, 3.3%, 3.6%, 4.9% and 5.7%, respectively. The results from 2008 and 2009 are not directly comparable to previous years, but the prevalence was probably approximately the same in 2008 as in 2007 and slightly lower in 2009.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

The overall occurrence of positive broiler flocks is low, but there is a large seasonal variation with a peak during the summer and autumn, and the surveillance programme is therefore covering that period of the year. Even though approximately 75% of the positive flocks are discovered before slaughter, and thereby subject to compulsory freezing or heat treatment, the number of *Campylobacter* positive broiler carcasses on the market during the summer can be considerable.

Table *Campylobacter* in animals

	Source of information	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for <i>Campylobacter</i> spp.	<i>C. jejuni</i>	<i>C. coli</i>	<i>C. sputorum</i>	<i>C. upsaliensis</i>	<i>C. spp.</i> , unspecified ⁷
<i>Gallus gallus</i> (fowl)									
Broilers – at farm	NACB ¹	flock	1924	117					117
Solipeds	NVI ²	animal	16	0					
Cattle	NVI	animal	90	20	17				3
Sheep	NVI	animal	41	4	4				
Pigs	NVI	animal	12	1		1			
Dogs	NVI	animal	342	94	5			82	7
Cats	NVI	animal	97	9	3			5	1

¹ NACB = Norwegian Action plan against *Campylobacter* in Broilers. Only covering the peak season 1 May - 31 October. There is no data available on the *Campylobacter* species because the method used is a Real time PCR method where no isolates are obtained.

² NVI = National Veterinary Institute: Diagnostic samples.

Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* isolates

Antimicrobial resistance in *Campylobacter* sp. from pigs

Sampling strategy used in monitoring

Samples from the Norwegian Salmonella Control Programme in pig was investigated for occurrence of *Campylobacter* spp. One isolate per positive farm was included for susceptibility testing.

Type of specimen taken

Faecal samples collected at farm.

Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing

One isolate of *Campylobacter coli* from each positive holding was selected for antimicrobial testing.

Methods used for collecting data

Strains were isolated and tested for the antimicrobial susceptibility at the National Veterinary Institute in Oslo.

Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

NMKL No 119 without enrichment.

Laboratory methods used for detection for resistance

The VetMIC microdilution method (Dept. of Antibiotics, National Veterinary Institute, Sweden) was used for the susceptibility testing of all isolates. The antimicrobials included are listed in the table.

Breakpoints used in testing

Epidemiological cut-off values recommended by EFSA were used, except for Streptomycin where a cut-off value of 8 was defined on the basis of the actual MIC distribution.

Results

The qualitative data are presented in the table. Quantitative data as well as data on breakpoints and range of testing are presented in the NORM/NORM-VET 2009 report.

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Campylobacter coli*

	Pigs
Number of isolates tested	67
Percentage of isolates resistant to	
Tetracycline	0
Ciprofloxacin	4.5
Streptomycin	22.4
Gentamicin	0
Erythromycin	0
Nalidixic acid	6.0

Listeriosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Listeriosis is endemic in Norway with sporadic clinical cases in humans and animals, especially among sheep. Since 1982, the number of notified human cases has varied from 2-50. The incidence rate has varied from 0.05-1.07 per 100 000. Most of the cases are sporadic, occurring in elderly individuals or persons with other underlying diseases. A few congenital cases have been reported. An outbreak occurred in 1992 which involved six reported cases and was traced back to contaminated, vacuum packed cold cuts from a Norwegian meat producer. In 2005 a hospital outbreak occurred with 3 cases, probably linked to cold cuts (the same strain of *L. monocytogenes* as isolated from the patients was found on the slicing machine in the hospital kitchen). In 2007 another outbreak with 21 verified cases occurred and was caused by contaminated soft cheese. In a survey conducted in 1994, the prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* in samples of vacuum packed cold cuts and smoked salmon was 1.7% and 7.8%, respectively. The prevalence in smoked salmon was 3.4% in a survey conducted in 1996-1997. In 2002 4.3% of 703 samples of domestically produced fish and fish products, mainly unprocessed and smoked salmon, were positive for *L. monocytogenes*. In 2003, 8.6% of 990 samples of smoked salmon taken at retail level were positive for *L. monocytogenes*. The level of contamination was less than 10 CFU/g in 53 samples, between 10 and 100 in 20 samples, between 100 and 1000 in 10 samples and more than 1000 CFU/g in two samples. In a survey conducted in 1995 involving ready-to-eat poultry products, the prevalence of *L. monocytogenes* was 0.4%. A survey of domestically produced raw milk products conducted in 1999 revealed that one out of 282 samples (0.4%) was positive for *L. monocytogenes*. A survey of raw bulk milk at Norwegian dairy farms, also conducted in 1999, did not detect any *L. monocytogenes* in 336 samples from cattle bulk milk, whereas four of 100 samples from goat bulk milk were positive for *L. monocytogenes*. This illustrates that products made of raw milk might be risk products with regard to *L. monocytogenes*. Fermented trout is a traditional food product in Norway that is consumed without heat treatment. Studies have revealed that a large proportion of samples may contain *L. monocytogenes*, sometimes in high concentrations (up to 2000 CFU per gram). Guidelines issued by the Food Safety Authority recommend a maximum level of 1000 CFU per gram for this particular product. Information about risk products to consumers belonging to risk populations has been issued. A recent study has shown that it is possible to produce fermented trout without *L. monocytogenes* if hygienic precautionary measures, including temperature control and appropriate salt levels, are implemented throughout the process.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Listeriosis is endemic in Norway with sporadic clinical cases in animals, especially among sheep. However, listeriosis is not a common disease in humans in Norway. Most cases are sporadic and seen in the elderly or in patients with underlying disease. Processed ready-to-eat products have been identified as a source for human listeriosis.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

Generally, the Norwegian Food Safety Authority recommends that findings of *L. monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat food products with a shelf life longer than 15 days and in which the bacteria easily can grow, should result in recall from the market of the corresponding lot. The producer is recommended to review production routines and shelf life of the product. Dietary advice is given to pregnant women.

Listeriosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome.

Case definition

A case from which *L. monocytogenes* has been detected in blood, cerebrospinal fluid or other normally sterile sites or a case with serology indicating recent infection.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriology (isolation of *L. monocytogenes* from a normally sterile site) followed by voluntary confirmation (species identification and serotyping) at the National Reference Laboratory.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1975.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Since 1982, the number of notified cases has varied from 2-50. The incidence rate has varied from 0.05-1.07 per 100 000. Most of the cases are sporadic, occurring in elderly individuals or persons with underlying disease. A few congenital cases are also being reported. The first recorded outbreak of listeriosis in Norway occurred in 1992, involving six reported cases. The outbreak was linked to vacuum packed cold cuts. In 2005, an outbreak occurred in a hospital in the middle of Norway. Three cases were reported, and the outbreak was linked to cold cuts. Another outbreak occurred in 2007, involving 21 reported cases of whom two died. The outbreak was linked to a pasteurised soft cheese produced in Norway.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 31 confirmed cases of listeriosis were notified (incidence rate 0.6 per 100 000), 24 cases were infected in Norway, and none of the cases reported having been infected abroad. One of the cases was pregnancy-associated. Four deaths were recorded.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Listeriosis in humans is a relatively rare disease in Norway and has been so for many years. Most of the cases are sporadic, occurring in elderly individuals or persons with underlying diseases. There is, however, an increasing trend if we look at the number of recorded cases over a twenty year period. The increase is not seen in pregnancy-associated cases. The reason for this increasing trend is unknown, but could be related both to an increase in the number of in elderly individuals and persons with other underlying diseases, and to increased exposure to *L. monocytogenes* in consumed food.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

Listeriosis in humans is a relatively rare disease in Norway.

Listeria in foodstuffs

Monitoring system

No continuous monitoring in foodstuffs takes place. Surveys are occasionally performed. Norway follows the EU requirements regarding testing for *L. monocytogenes* in milk products. Samples are taken as part of internal control programmes in the food producing industry.

Definition of positive finding

A positive sample is a sample from which *Listeria* spp. has been isolated.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

At the production plant: NMKL No 136:2007

At retail: NMKL No 136:2007 for qualitative analyses, direct plating on Rapid mono Listeria agar for quantitative analyses

Control program/mechanisms

No official control programmes in place. When relevant, monitoring and control take place as an integral part of food business operators' internal control systems.

Measures in case of the positive findings

Generally, the Norwegian Food Safety Authority recommends that findings of *L. monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat food products with a shelf life longer than 15 days and in which the bacteria easily can grow, should result in recall from the market of the corresponding lot. The producer is recommended to review production routines and shelf life of the product. Internal control: Corrective actions will be taken according to the frequency of positive findings, product type, step of process at which the isolation was done, and whether the product is a ready-to-eat product or special dietary product.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 64 samples of fish from wild stock were investigated. All were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

In general, the occurrence of *L. monocytogenes* in food products is low.

Listeria in animals

Monitoring system

Listeriosis is a notifiable disease in animals. There are no monitoring programmes in regard to *L. monocytogenes* in animals. Information is achieved through clinical and laboratory reports.

Frequency of the sampling

When there is a suspected case.

Case definition

A case may be defined as 1) positive histopathology combined with clinical signs, 2) positive bacteriology.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriology, histopathology and immunohistochemistry.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Normally none.

Notification system in place

Listeriosis has been a list C disease according to the Animal Disease Act since 1965.

Results of the investigation

Many animals are investigated with regard to *L. monocytogenes* and listeriosis in clinical laboratories. In 2009, at the National Veterinary Institute, 25 sheep, five goats, four cattle, two pigs and one moose (*Alces alces*) were found positive for *Listeria* spp.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

Listeria spp. is present in the environment and also in food producing animals. However, there is no epidemiological evidence that listeriosis in humans are linked to listeriosis in animals.

E. coli infections

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The reported incidence of VTEC infections in humans in Norway has so far been low (0-47 cases per year). Approximately half of the cases are acquired domestically. In 2006 there was a severe outbreak caused by VTEC O103:H25 with 17 patients, out of which 10 developed HUS and one died.

A study conducted in 1995 revealed a low prevalence of VTEC O157 among Norwegian dairy cattle; animal prevalence 0.3% and herd prevalence 1.0%. In a survey conducted in 1998-1999, one out of 574 dairy cattle herds were positive for VTEC O157 (herd prevalence 0.2%, animal prevalence between 0.02 and 0.06%). In 2000, none of the tested 1435 beef cattle from 165 herds were positive for VTEC O157. A survey in 2002, in which 453 pooled faecal samples from 155 beef cattle herds were tested for the presence of VTEC O26, O103, O111, O145 and O157, revealed five pooled samples from five herds positive for VTEC O103, all *eae* negative.

In the surveillance programme for VTEC O157 in cattle, sheep, and goat carcasses running in the period 1998-2004, the total carcass prevalence was 0.06% for cattle and 0.03% for sheep. None of the 510 goat carcasses tested were positive.

In a national survey in sheep conducted in 2006-2007, samples from 585 flocks were analysed, 94 flocks from 2006 and 491 flocks from 2007. VTEC O103:H2 (*stx*₁ and *eae* positives) were detected in 0.7% and VTEC O157:H7 (*stx*₂ and *eae* positives - one was also *stx*₁ positive) in 0.9% of the flocks, respectively. Only the 2007 samples were analysed for *E. coli* O26, and VTEC O26 were detected in 0.8% of these. In addition *stx* negative and *eae* positive *E. coli* O26 were detected in 16.1%, *stx* negative and *eae* positive *E. coli* O103:H2 in 3.1%, and *stx* negative and *eae* positive *E. coli* O103:H25 in 5.8% of the flocks.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Although the annual incidence in humans in Norway up to 2006 was low and predominantly involved sporadic cases, the fear that the incidence might increase in the future, and that outbreaks may occur proved valid in 2006. Data show that VTEC O157 is present in the cattle and sheep populations, and although the prevalences seem to be low, this reservoir represents a source of possible human infection. The 2006 outbreak caused by VTEC O103:H25 showed that other VTEC than the "high five" (VTEC O26, O103:H2, O111, O145 and O157) may be of potential danger for humans.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

Although the prevalence of VTEC O157 in the cattle and sheep populations seems to be low, there are other VTEC where the knowledge is sparse. In general, there is always a potential for contamination in the food chain, which requires alertness at all steps from primary production, through processing, and retail and food preparation, as well as alertness among physicians and diagnostic laboratories.

E. coli infections in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome. Haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) became a notifiable disease in December 2006. Before that, HUS was not notifiable per se, but was reported in relation to an EHEC diagnosis.

Case definition

A case from which enterohaemorrhagic *E. coli* or its toxins have been detected from faecal samples.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Most clinical microbiological laboratories use plating on selective media (such as SMAC) in order to detect presumptive VTEC O157. Presumptive isolates are tested for agglutination with O157 antiserum before being submitted for confirmation at the National Reference Laboratory. Confirmation includes examination for the presence of Shiga toxin genes. Some laboratories use genetic methods directed towards detection of Shiga toxin genes followed by isolation of VTEC and confirmation at the National Reference Laboratory.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1995. Haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) became a notifiable disease in December 2006.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The reported incidence of VTEC infections in humans in Norway has been low. The number of cases has varied between 0-47 per year, and the incidence rate up until 2009 has varied between 0-9.9 per 100 000 inhabitants. Of the around 220 cases that were registered in the period 1992-2008, approximately half of the cases were acquired domestically. Most reported cases were caused by VTEC O157. There were in total 24 cases of haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) and two deaths attributable to VTEC infection reported in this period.

The first foodborne VTEC outbreak in Norway occurred in 1999 and involved four culture positive patients (O157). Epidemiological investigations incriminated domestically produced lettuce as the most likely source of infection. A severe outbreak caused by VTEC O103:H25 in 2006 involved 17 patients of which 10 developed HUS and one died. In 2009, an outbreak caused by sorbitol fermenting O157:H- occurred. Thirteen children got ill, and of these nine developed HUS and one child died. The source of the outbreak was not found.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, 108 cases (incidence rate 2.2) of VTEC and HUS were reported. A total of 14 cases of HUS were reported; sorbitol fermenting O157:H- was isolated from 9 of the patients, O145:H? from 2 patients, O121:H19 from one, and O157:H7 from one. There was no isolate from the last patient. Of the 108 cases of VTEC infections reported, the most commonly isolated serotypes were O157 (7 cases), O103 (2 cases) and O117 (2 cases). Twelve of the 108 cases reported contracting the infection abroad.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The number of cases reported in 2009 is high compared to earlier years and seven outbreaks were reported. Many of the notified cases were detected because of increased attention and testing due to the outbreaks. The laboratory methods have probably improved since the O103 outbreak Norway experienced in 2006. However, the number of HUS cases in 2009 is also higher than ever reported before, and the high numbers of cases in 2009 compared to earlier years therefore seems to reflect a real increase in occurrence of this infection. The reason for the increase in both sporadic cases and outbreak-related cases in 2009 is unknown.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

Data show that VTEC is present in the cattle and sheep populations, although the prevalences seem to be low. Thus, there is a potential for contamination in the food chain or by direct animal contact, which requires alertness at all steps from primary production, through processing, and retail and food preparation, as well as alertness among physicians and diagnostic laboratories.

Additional information

Patients whose work represents a risk for spread of the disease, e.g., in food production and health care, are advised to stay away from such work while they are having symptoms. It is recommended that for these patients five consecutive faecal samples examined after the symptoms have disappeared should be negative before returning to work.

Verotoxigenic E. coli (VTEC) in animals

Monitoring system

Prevalence surveys in cattle, sheep and goats have been conducted occasionally since 1998. In 2006-2007 a survey regarding VTEC in sheep was conducted, with a total of 593 flocks sampled. From each flock, 50 single faecal samples were requested from the youngest animals.

Type of specimen taken

Animals at farm: Faeces

Case definition

An animal or herd from which VTEC is isolated.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Modification of NMKL No 164:1999 with IMS (or IMS-ELISA) followed by virulence characterization by PCR.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

If VTEC O157 or other VTEC that can pose a health risk for humans is detected in an official survey among live animals, the Norwegian Food Safety Authority and Municipal Medical Officer are notified. Restrictions may be imposed on livestock holdings where such VTEC is detected. The holdings sampled in the survey of sheep flocks in 2006-2007 were anonymized.

Notification system in place

Findings in carcasses of VTEC O157 or other VTEC that can pose a health risk for humans lead to condemnation of the carcasses and notification to the authorities. Findings of such VTEC in samples from live animals are not notifiable as an animal disease, but since VTEC is a pathogen that can be transmitted from animals to humans, competent authorities have to be informed about positive findings.

Results of the investigation

More than 460 samples from animals and more than 740 samples from food, feed and environment were investigated due to follow up of human cases. Definite links between human cases and the samples from animals, food, feed and environment were not identified.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The prevalence of human pathogenic VTEC O157, O103, O26, O45 and O111 is still considered low in Norwegian cattle, sheep and goats.

Tuberculosis, mycobacterial diseases

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Norway has been granted the officially tuberculosis-free status of bovine herds by the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) (EFTA Surveillance Authority Decision No 28/07/COL) as Norway fulfils the requirements laid down in Council Directive 64/432/EEC as amended. Bovine tuberculosis (*M. bovis*) was declared eliminated in cattle in Norway in 1963 as a result of an official eradication programme against the disease. During the period 1895-1896, 26% of 2195 tuberculin-tested herds were positive. In 1950, 18 herds were registered as being infected, while in the beginning of the 1960s only one or two infected herds were reported annually. Since bovine tuberculosis was declared eliminated, it has only been recorded three times; in 1984 in two cattle herds and in 1986 in one cattle herd. These herds were in the same geographical area and the origin of the infection in these herds was probably a man with tuberculosis. Tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* in other animal species than cattle has not been recorded in Norway after the disease was eliminated from cattle in 1963. Tuberculosis in humans caused by *M. bovis* is only sporadically recorded in Norway, and since 1977 the few recorded cases have been imported except for one case of reactivation in 1994.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

As Norway is officially free from bovine tuberculosis, the probability of contracting *M. bovis* infection from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

There have been no findings of *M. bovis* in animals or foodstuffs. The probability of contracting *M. bovis* infection from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Tuberculosis due to Mycobacterium bovis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between Norwegian and foreign born cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. The surveillance system includes individual treatment outcome data for all tuberculosis patients.

Case definition

A confirmed case of *M. bovis*, *M. tuberculosis*, or *M. africanum* is a case that has been confirmed by isolation of *M. bovis*, *M. tuberculosis*, or *M. africanum*, respectively. Cases of tuberculosis that are diagnosed without laboratory confirmation (diagnoses based on clinical symptoms and X-ray examination) are also notified and included in the statistics.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Clinical indications: Bacteriology, X-ray, pathology.

Screening: Miniature X-ray, tuberculin skin testing, Interferon gamma release assays.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases caused by bacilli belonging to the *M. tuberculosis* complex (including *M. tuberculosis*, *M. bovis*, and *M. africanum*) are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1975, and before that notifiable to a separate Tuberculosis Register since 1900.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

The incidence of human tuberculosis (*M. bovis* and *M. tuberculosis*) has steadily decreased during the last 50 years in persons of Norwegian origin. BCG vaccination was introduced in 1947 and was mandatory until 1995. Pasteurisation of milk for commercial sale became mandatory in 1951. Since 1977, the annual incidence rate in persons born in Norway has decreased from 11 to 1.4 per 100 000, and most cases in this part of the population are recurrent cases in elderly patients. Along with increased immigration to Norway, the proportion of tuberculosis cases involving persons born outside Norway has increased during the last two decades (from less than 10% in 1977 to 81% in 2006). Since bovine tuberculosis in cattle was eliminated in Norway in 1963, almost all bacteriologically confirmed cases in humans have been caused by *M. tuberculosis*. The last domestic case of tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* was reported in 1994 in a 100 year old woman infected in her youth. Apart from this case, no indigenous cases of tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* in humans have been reported since 1977. Imported cases of tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* are sporadically reported; in 2005 in two patients from Somalia and Afghanistan, respectively, in 2002 one patient from Somalia, in 2001 one patient from Tanzania, in 2000 two patients from Somalia and Morocco, respectively, in 1999 one patient from Sri Lanka, in 1998 one patient from Somalia, and in 1994 one patient infected in India.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, no cases with tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* were notified.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* is only sporadically recorded in Norway, and except for a case of reactivation in 1994, the few recorded cases reported since 1977 have been imported.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

As Norway is officially free from bovine tuberculosis, the probability of contracting *M. bovis* infection from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Additional information

In Norway, the child vaccination programme has included vaccination against tuberculosis since 1947. The BCG vaccine (live attenuated *M. bovis*) is offered to unvaccinated and tuberculin negative persons belonging to certain risk groups; immigrants from countries with high prevalence of tuberculosis, persons travelling to high endemic areas for a prolonged time period, teachers, health personnel, personnel on ships and in offshore industry, and military personnel. In addition, the BCG vaccine is offered to all children during junior high school (13-14 years old). In general, the immunisation coverage in Norwegian children is high; for the BCG vaccine it is estimated to be 99%. In Norway, the BCG vaccine is estimated to give 80% protection against tuberculosis. Tuberculin skin test is mandatory for immigrants coming to Norway from high prevalence countries. Immigrants who are 15 years or older must also undergo chest radiograph screening. Screening for tuberculosis in certain risk populations is sometimes conducted.

Mycobacterium in animals

A. *Mycobacterium bovis* in bovine animals

Status as officially free of bovine tuberculosis during the reporting year: The entire country free Norway has been granted the officially tuberculosis free status of bovine herds by the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) (EFTA Surveillance Authority Decision No 28/07/COL) as Norway fulfils the requirements laid down in Council Directive 64/432/EEC as amended.

Monitoring system

Every slaughtered animal, except animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption, is subjected to meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. All breeding bulls are tuberculin tested several times. Imported animals are tuberculin tested if considered relevant based upon individual assessment. If suspicion arises whether an animal may have tuberculosis (sick or dead animal), relevant tests will be carried out.

Frequency of the sampling

All slaughtered animals are subject to meat inspection. Imported animals are tested during week 22 of the six months long isolation period. Breeding bulls are tuberculin tested before being transferred to a semen collection centre and thereafter subject to yearly testing.

Type of specimen taken

Animals for slaughter: Lymph nodes. Breeding animals and imported animals: Tuberculin testing.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Slaughtered animals: Meat inspection at the slaughterhouse; lymph node examination. Imported animals and breeding animals: Tuberculin testing. Clinical indication: Methods will vary depending on the problem.

Case definition

A single animal from which *M. bovis* or *M. tuberculosis* has been isolated. Herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Slaughtered animals: Meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. If indicated: bacteriology and histology. Clinical indications: Tuberculin testing (intradermal comparative test), pathology, and/or bacteriology. Breeding animals and imported animals: Tuberculin testing (intradermal comparative test).

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against tuberculosis is prohibited in Norway.

Control program/mechanisms

Every slaughtered animal, except animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption, is subjected to meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Norway would as a minimum implement the measures as laid down in Council Directive 64/432/EEC as amended in case of positive findings or if suspicion of tuberculosis in bovine animals should arise.

Notification system in place

Tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* or *M. tuberculosis* of all species has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1894. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, one of the slaughtered bovine animals had findings at slaughter indicating tuberculosis, and was submitted for examination for *Mycobacterium* sp. The samples were negative. A total of 290 bulls owned by a breeding company all had negative tuberculin tests.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Bovine tuberculosis was declared eliminated in cattle in 1963.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *M. bovis* in animals or foodstuffs. The risk for humans contracting tuberculosis from livestock within the country is negligible.

B. *Mycobacterium bovis* in farmed deer

Monitoring system

Every slaughtered animal, except animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption, is subjected to meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. Imported deer are tuberculin tested if considered relevant based upon individual assessment. If suspicion arises whether an animal may have tuberculosis (sick or dead animal), relevant tests will be carried out.

Frequency of the sampling

All slaughtered animals are subject to meat inspection. Imported deer are tested during week 5 of the two months long isolation period.

Type of specimen taken

Animals for slaughter: Lymph nodes. Imported animals: Tuberculin testing.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Slaughtered animals: Meat inspection at the slaughterhouse; lymph node examination. Imported animals: Tuberculin testing. Clinical indications: Methods will vary depending on the problem.

Case definition

A single animal from which *M. bovis* or *M. tuberculosis* has been isolated. The herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Slaughtered animals: Meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. If indicated: bacteriology and histology. Imported animals: Tuberculin testing (intradermal comparative test). Clinical indications: Tuberculin testing (intradermal comparative test), pathology, and/or bacteriology.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against tuberculosis is prohibited in Norway.

Control program/mechanisms

Every slaughtered animal, except animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption, is subjected to meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. Required autopsy of animals older than 12 months of age that die or are killed because of a disease.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Norway would as a minimum implement the measures as laid down in Council Directive 64/432/EEC as amended in case of positive findings or if suspicion of tuberculosis should arise.

Notification system in place

Tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* or *M. tuberculosis* of all species has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1894. Cases are to be reported to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, none of the slaughtered deer had findings at slaughter indicating tuberculosis.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Bovine tuberculosis has not been diagnosed in farmed deer in Norway. The population of farmed deer is very small in Norway.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *M. bovis* in animals or foodstuffs. The risk for humans contracting tuberculosis from livestock within the country is negligible.

C. *Mycobacterium* spp. in other animals

Monitoring system

For cattle and farmed deer, see the respective chapters. Every slaughtered animal, except poultry and animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption, is subjected to meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. Imported animals are tuberculin tested if considered relevant based upon individual assessment. If suspicion arises whether an animal may have tuberculosis (sick or dead animal), relevant tests will be carried out.

Frequency of the sampling

All slaughtered animals are subject to meat inspection. Imported animals: Sheep and goats are tested during week 23 of the two years long isolation period. Pigs are tested during week 7 of the two months long isolation period. Lamas are tested during week 22 of the six months long isolation period.

Type of specimen taken

Animals for slaughter: Lymph nodes. Imported animals: Tuberculin testing.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Slaughtered animals: Meat inspection at the slaughterhouse; lymph node examination. Imported animals and breeding animals: Tuberculin testing. Clinical indications: Methods will vary depending on the problem.

Case definition

A single animal from which *M. bovis* or *M. tuberculosis* has been isolated. The herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Slaughtered animals: Meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC. If indicated: bacteriology and histology. Tests of imports, exports: Tuberculin testing (intradermal comparative test). Clinical indications: Tuberculin testing (intradermal comparative test), pathology, and/or bacteriology.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against tuberculosis is prohibited.

Control program/mechanisms

Every slaughtered animal, except poultry and animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption, is subjected to meat inspection regarding tuberculosis (lymph node examination) by an official veterinarian according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Norway would as a minimum implement the measures as laid down in Council Directive 64/432/EEC as amended in case of positive findings or if suspicion of tuberculosis should arise.

Notification system in place

Tuberculosis caused by *M. bovis* or *M. tuberculosis* in all species has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1894. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, tuberculin tests were performed on 122 breeding boars at AI stations, all were negative. Samples from 22 pigs, one dog and one cattle were analyzed for the presence of *Mycobacterium* species. *M. avium* "hominissuis" was isolated from 15 of the pigs. The other animals were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Bovine tuberculosis was declared eliminated in cattle in 1963, and has since then not been recorded in other animal species.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *M. bovis* in animals or foodstuffs. The risk for humans contracting tuberculosis from livestock within the country is negligible.

Brucellosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Bovine brucellosis has been a notifiable disease since 1903. An offensive eradication programme to eliminate the disease was launched in 1935, and Norway was declared free from bovine brucellosis in 1953. Ovine, caprine, or porcine brucellosis has never been recorded in Norway. Norway has been granted official brucellosis-free status of bovine herds by the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) (EFTA Surveillance Authority Decision No 28/07/COL). Also regarding *Brucella melitensis*, Norway is officially free for the disease in sheep and goats. Human brucellosis has always been a rare disease in Norway, the majority of the cases being imported, and a few cases due to laboratory infections domestically.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

As bovine brucellosis was declared eliminated in Norway in 1953, and ovine, caprine, or porcine brucellosis has never been recorded, Norway is considered free from brucellosis in production animals. Research studies have shown that antibodies against *Brucella* can be detected in marine mammals (minke whales and hooded seals) from the North Atlantic Ocean, and in polar bears from the archipelago of Svalbard and the Barents Sea. *Brucella* sp. different from previously described species has also been isolated from hooded seals from the Greenland Sea.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

There have been no findings of *Brucella* spp. in terrestrial animals or foodstuffs. The probability of contracting brucellosis from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Brucellosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome.

Case definition

A clinically compatible case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Serology (serum antibody test or antigen test of clinical specimen) and bacteriology (isolation).

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1975.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human brucellosis has always been a rare disease in Norway. During the period 1983-2009, only 18 cases of brucellosis were reported: In 2006 three cases of which two had travelled to countries outside Europe and for the third case, there was no information available. In 2005 one case infected in Africa. In 2004 two cases; one infected at work (health care/laboratory), the other infected in Cyprus. In 2003 three cases; two probably infected in Ethiopia and one probably infected in a laboratory. In 2002 three cases; from Spain, Iraq and Georgia. In 2001 two cases; both probably infected in Lebanon. In 2000 one case infected in Turkey probably through milk. In 1999 one case infected through milk in Turkey. In 1997 one immigrant from Turkey. In 1987 a Norwegian UN soldier stationed in Lebanon (*B. melitensis*).

Results of the investigation

In 2009 no cases were reported.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Brucellosis is rarely recorded in Norway. Since 1983, only 18 cases have been recorded. Two of these are known to be infected in Norway, both laboratory contracted.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

As Norway is free from brucellosis in terrestrial food producing animals, the risk of humans contracting brucellosis from such animals or from Norwegian animal products is considered negligible. However, the recent findings of *Brucella* species in marine mammals needs further research to better understand the epidemiology and to address possible public health implications.

Brucella in animals

A. *Brucella abortus* in bovine animals

Status as officially free of bovine brucellosis during the reporting year: The entire country free Norway is regarded as officially free from bovine brucellosis according to the EFTA Surveillance Authority (ESA) (EFTA Surveillance Authority Decision No 28/07/COL).

Monitoring system

Surveillance programme: During the years 2000-2004, the programme consisted of an active surveillance part, where 20% of the Norwegian cattle population were sampled each year, and a passive surveillance part, where aborted fetuses and blood samples from their dams were investigated. Since 20% of the Norwegian cattle population had been tested annually for five consecutive years and thereby fulfilled the requirements from the EU, the programme in 2005 was reduced to passive surveillance only. According to the programme, all abortions between the fifth month of pregnancy and 14 days before expected birth in a herd in which there has been at least two such abortions the last 12 months, should be sampled. In addition, blood samples from the cow should be examined. All breeding bulls are tested. Imported animals are serologically tested if considered relevant, based upon an assessment of the health status in the country of origin. Tests are also carried out in connection with clinical indications and export.

Frequency of the sampling

All breeding bulls are tested serologically twice before being transferred to a semen collection centre, and subsequently retested within 12 months. Bulls are thereafter subject to yearly testing. Imported cattle are tested at week 22 during the six months long isolation period.

Type of specimen taken

Blood or foetus.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Surveillance programme: Foetus and the foetal membranes and paired blood samples from the mother are collected. Other monitoring systems: Blood samples. All samples are collected at farm.

Case definition

An animal which is seropositive for *Brucella* spp. even after retesting at least four weeks later, or an animal from which *Brucella* spp. has been isolated. The herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Foetus: Full autopsy, histopathology, bacteriology. Blood samples from cows: Antibodies against *Brucella* in an indirect ELISA (Svanova). If the results are doubtful or positive, the samples are retested in duplicates. If the result still is doubtful or positive, the sample is tested with a competitive ELISA (C-ELISA, Svanova). If still positive, a complement fixation (CF) test is used. If the CF test is positive, new samples are taken four to six weeks after the initial sampling. If this is positive, or if there is a need for immediate follow up, the animal will be tested with an intracutane test using Brucellergene OCB from *B. melitensis* (Synbiotics). Breeding animals, imports, exports: Serology (Rose Bengal plate agglutination test, serum agglutination test or complement fixation test depending on the customer's demands). All tests are performed according to the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals, 5th ed. 2004. The indirect ELISA is standardized against EU Directive 64/432/EEC Annex C.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against brucellosis is prohibited in Norway.

Control program/mechanisms

The surveillance programme in cattle herds (in accordance to Council Directive 64/432/EEC Annex I) was established in 2000. All breeding bulls are serologically tested twice before being transferred to a semen collection centre, and subsequently within 12 months. Bulls are thereafter subjected to yearly testing. Imported cattle are serologically tested if considered relevant based upon an individual assessment. Tests are also carried out in connection with clinical indications and export.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Norway would as a minimum implement the measures as laid down in Council Directive 64/432/EEC as amended in case of positive findings or if suspicion of brucellosis in bovine animals should arise.

Notification system in place

Bovine brucellosis has been a notifiable List A disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1903. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 19 fetuses and 26 cows from 15 herds were investigated in the surveillance programme. A total of 506 bulls were tested for brucellosis at the AI stations. All samples were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Bovine brucellosis was eliminated from Norway in 1953. No positive cases have been found since then.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *Brucella* spp. in cattle or foodstuffs from cattle. The probability of contracting brucellosis from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Table *Brucella* spp. in animals

	Source of information ¹	Sampling unit	Units tested	Total units positive for <i>Brucella</i> spp.
Cattle	Surveillance programme	Animal	45	0
	BC	Animal	506	0
Sheep²	Surveillance programme	Animal	26681	0
Goats²	Surveillance programme	Animal	3124	0
Pigs	BC	Animal	1153	0
Dogs³	NVI	Animal	16	0
Alpacas	NVI	Animal	1	0
Seal (<i>Phoca vitulina</i>)	NVI	Animal	2	0

¹ BC = Breeding Company

² In addition animals were tested at AI stations, in relation to import and due to clinical suspicion. All these animals were negative.

³ Mainly tested in relation to export

B. *Brucella melitensis* in sheep

Status as officially free of ovine brucellosis during the reporting year: The entire country free
Due to its history in regard to *Brucella melitensis*, Norway is officially considered as brucellosis free.

Monitoring system

Surveillance programme: A large proportion of herds being part of the breeding system with ram circles are tested. Randomly selected flocks not being part of any ram circles are also tested. Imported sheep are serologically tested if considered relevant based upon an assessment of the health status in the country of origin.

Frequency of the sampling

Surveillance programme: A selection of herds in the population is tested every year. Imported sheep are tested for brucellosis at week 2 and 23 during the two year isolation period.

Type of specimen taken

Blood

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Individual blood samples are collected at the farms. Surveillance programme: In flocks with less than 30 animals, all animals are sampled; in herds with 30 100 animals, 30 are sampled; in herds with 100 200 animals, 35 are sampled; in herds with more than 200 animals, 40 animals are sampled.

Case definition

An animal which is seropositive for *Brucella* spp. or an animal from which *Brucella* spp. has been isolated. The herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Rose Bengal plate agglutination test is used for the initial screening. A competitive ELISA (C-ELISA, Svanova) was used to follow up unclear or positive reactions due to possible cross reactions.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against brucellosis is prohibited.

Control program/mechanisms

The national surveillance programme and the control of imported animals are run by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Norway would as a minimum implement the measures as laid down in Council Directive 91/68/EEC in case of positive findings or if suspicion of brucellosis in ovine animals should arise.

Notification system in place

Brucellosis in all species has been a notifiable List A disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1903. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, in the surveillance programme, 26681 animals from 816 herds were tested for antibodies against *B. melitensis*. All were negative. All 140 rams tested for brucellosis were negative. All 36 animals tested in relation to export or health control were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Ovine brucellosis has never been recorded in Norway.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *Brucella* spp. in sheep or foodstuffs from sheep. The probability of contracting brucellosis from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

C. *Brucella melitensis* in goats

Status as officially free of caprine brucellosis during the reporting year: The entire country free
Due to its history in regard to *Brucella melitensis*, Norway is officially considered brucellosis free.

Monitoring system

Surveillance programme: A large proportion of herds are selected for sampling each year. The programme started in 2007. Imported goats are serologically tested if considered relevant based upon an assessment of the health status in the country of origin.

Frequency of the sampling

Surveillance programme: A selection of herds in the population is tested every year. Imported goats are tested for brucellosis in week 2 and 23 during the two year's isolation period.

Type of specimen taken

Blood

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Individual blood samples are collected at farm. Surveillance programme: In flocks with less than 30 animals, all animals are sampled; in herds with 30 100 animals, 30 are sampled; in herds with 100 200 animals, 35 are sampled; in herds with more than 200 animals, 40 animals are sampled.

Case definition

An animal showing significant antibody titre to *Brucella* spp. or an animal from which *Brucella* spp. has been isolated. The herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Rose Bengal plate agglutination test was used for initial screening. A competitive ELISA (CELISA, Svanova) was used to follow up unclear or positive reactions due to possible cross reactions.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against brucellosis is prohibited.

Control program/mechanisms

The national surveillance programme and the control of imported animals are run by the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Norway would as a minimum implement the measures as laid down in Council Directive 91/68/EEC in case of positive findings or if suspicion of brucellosis in caprine animals should arise.

Notification system in place

Brucellosis in all species has been a notifiable List A disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1903. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, in the surveillance programme, 3124 animals from 104 herds were tested for antibodies against *B. melitensis*. All were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Caprine brucellosis has never been recorded in Norway.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *Brucella* spp. in goat or foodstuffs from goat. The probability of contracting brucellosis from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

D. *Brucella* spp. in pigs

Monitoring system

All breeding boars are tested. Imported pigs are tested if considered relevant based upon an individual assessment.

Frequency of the sampling

All breeding boars are tested twice before being transferred to a semen collection centre, and subsequently within 12 months or before slaughter. Imported pigs are tested during week 4 of the two months long isolation period.

Type of specimen taken

Blood

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Blood samples are taken at the farms.

Case definition An animal which is seropositive for *Brucella* spp. or an animal from which *Brucella* spp. has been isolated. The herd is the epidemiological unit.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Rose Bengal plate agglutination test performed according to the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and Vaccines for Terrestrial Animals, 5th ed. 2004.

Vaccination policy

Vaccination of animals against brucellosis is prohibited in Norway.

Control program/mechanisms

All breeding boars are tested. Imported pigs are tested if considered relevant based upon an individual assessment.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

If *Brucella* should be detected, the competent authorities must be notified without delay. Actions would be taken to identify and eliminate the source of the contamination in order to prevent further spread. Stringent restrictions including cleaning and disinfection, control of animal movement and control of person admission would be imposed on the infected holding. The whole herd would be destroyed.

Notification system in place

Brucellosis in all species has been a notifiable List A disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1903. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, all 1153 investigated pigs belonging to a breeding company tested negative. A total of 134 of these were tested in relation to export of live animals.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Porcine brucellosis has never been recorded in Norway.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have been no findings of *Brucella* spp. in swine or foodstuffs from swine. The probability of contracting brucellosis from Norwegian animals or animal products of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Yersiniosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In the years 1982-1994, the number of notified cases in humans varied between 154 and 274 (mean 187). From 1994 there was a steady decline in the reported incidence of yersiniosis. The decline was interrupted in 1998, and since then the incidence has been between 50 and 150 notified cases per year.

Studies conducted during the 1980s revealed that a large proportion of Norwegian pigs were carriers of *Y. enterocolitica* serogroup O:3 and that the same variant frequently could be isolated from pig carcasses. In 1995-1996 a serological survey of all multiplier herds (n=66) belonging to the cooperative slaughterhouse organisation showed that 35.5% of the fattening pigs had antibodies against *Y. enterocolitica* O:3, and 80% of the herds had at least one pig (of 40 pigs tested per herd) with antibodies against *Y. enterocolitica* O:3. In another survey where blood samples from 5 fatteners in each of 326 randomly selected herds were analysed for antibodies against *Y. enterocolitica* O:3, 53% of the pigs and 64% of the herds tested positive.

In 1997-1998, 300 samples of raw pork products were analyzed. *Y. enterocolitica* O:3 was isolated from 2% of the samples by a culturing method (NMKL method no. 117), while use of a PCR method indicated the presence of pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica* in 17% of the samples. This was lower than in a similar survey conducted in 1988-1989.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

From 1994 to 1998, a reduction in the incidence of yersiniosis in humans was identified. This decline coincided with a gradual introduction of improved slaughter routines with the aim of preventing pig carcasses from becoming contaminated with *Y. enterocolitica*.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

Pork products are generally considered the most important source of yersiniosis in humans. A Norwegian case control study conducted in the period 1988-1990 identified consumption of such products as an important risk factor in addition to consumption of untreated drinking water and a general preference for undercooked meat. In 2006 two smaller outbreaks of yersiniosis both linked to a traditional cold cuts pork product were reported.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

During the mid 1990s, there was a gradual introduction of improved slaughter routines that aid in preventing pig carcasses from being contaminated with *Y. enterocolitica*. A significant reduction of reported cases of human yersiniosis cases was noted parallel to this.

Yersiniosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome. Cases confirmed by serology only are also reported, but due to recent changes in laboratory practices these are not included in this report.

Case definition

A case from which *Y. enterocolitica* or *Y. pseudotuberculosis* has been isolated or a clinical compatible case with an epidemiological link to a culture confirmed case.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Bacteriology (isolation of *Yersinia* species) followed by voluntary confirmation (species identification and serotyping) at the National Reference Laboratory.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1992.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In the years 1982-1994, the number of notified cases varied between 154 and 274 (mean 187, median 182). From 1994 there was a steady decline in yersiniosis reports. This decline coincided with a gradual introduction of improved routines when slaughtering pigs, which resulted in reduced contamination with *Y. enterocolitica* to pig carcasses. The decline was interrupted in 1998, and since then the incidence has been between 50 and 150 notified cases per year.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 60 cases of yersiniosis were reported (incidence rate 1.2 per 100 000). A total of 34 (57%) cases were domestic.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Although the incidence of yersiniosis has decreased in recent years and the number of registered cases is moderate, the disease is still the fourth most commonly recorded foodborne zoonotic infection in Norway. Moreover, the majority of the cases have acquired the infection within Norway. The vast majority of cases are sporadic. The most common serogroup is O:3. The number reported in 2009 is slightly higher than in 2008 which had the lowest number of reported cases since the surveillance of yersiniosis started.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

Yersiniosis is an important zoonotic disease in Norway, with the majority of cases acquired within Norway. Pigs are considered to be a major reservoir, and pork products are considered to be an important source for pathogenic *Y. enterocolitica*, although uncertainties still remain regarding the epidemiology.

Additional information

Patients whose work represents a risk for spread of the disease, e.g., in food production and health care, are advised to stay away from such work while they are having symptoms. It is recommended that for these patients two consecutive faecal samples examined after the symptoms have disappeared should be negative before returning to work.

Yersinia in animals

Monitoring system

There are no official monitoring programmes for *Y. enterocolitica* in live animals or in animals at slaughter.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

During the mid 1990s, there was a gradual introduction of improved slaughter routines that aid in preventing pig carcasses from being contaminated with *Y. enterocolitica*. A significant reduction in the incidence of reported yersiniosis in humans was noted subsequent to this action.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

None.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of three goats and one wild hare were diagnosed with *Y. pseudotuberculosis*.

Trichinellosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Trichinellosis has been found sporadically in farmed food producing animals and was last detected in two pig herds in 1994. This was the first report of trichinellosis in pigs since 1981. Trichinellosis occurs endemically among wild red foxes in mainland Norway and among wild arctic foxes and polar bears in the archipelago of Svalbard. In a survey in red foxes killed during the licensed hunting season in 1994-1995 and 2002-2005, 4.8% of 393 examined animals were positive for *Trichinella* larvae. Trichinellosis has also been diagnosed in farmed foxes. *T. spiralis* and *T. pseudospiralis* have not been found in Norway. *T. nativa* is the most commonly found species. Human trichinellosis acquired in Norway has not been reported since 1980. The two last reported cases of human trichinellosis, in 1996, were both imported.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Trichinellosis was last detected in food producing animals in 1994, in two pig herds. Trichinellosis occurs endemically among wildlife.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

As Norwegian food producing animals very rarely are infected with *Trichinella*, and all slaughtered pigs and horses are analysed for the parasite, the probability of contracting trichinellosis from food producing animals of Norwegian origin is close to zero.

Trichinellosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome.

Case definition

A clinically compatible case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Muscle biopsy and histopathology (demonstration of *Trichinella* larvae in tissue) and serology.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1975.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human trichinellosis acquired in Norway is very rare, the last case being reported in 1980. The last two cases of imported trichinellosis were reported in 1996, in immigrants from ex-Yugoslavia.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, no cases of human trichinellosis were reported.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

The risk of acquiring trichinellosis from domestic sources is considered very low because trichinellosis only has been detected twice in food producing animals since 1981, extensive surveillance programmes are in place, and Norwegian swine production is run under intensive and controlled conditions.

Additional information

If a human case should be diagnosed, epidemiological investigations will be initiated in order to identify the source and prevent further cases.

Trichinella in animals

A. *Trichinella* in pigs

Monitoring system

All pigs must be controlled for *Trichinella* at slaughter according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009). This control is compulsory according to the Meat Inspection Act except for those animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption.

Frequency of the sampling

Every slaughtered animal is sampled.

Type of specimen taken

Diaphragm muscle.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Methods used are in accordance to Council Directive 77/96/EEC (still in force in 2009). Up to 100 samples, each of 1 gram, can be analysed as a pooled sample when using a digestion method. Sometimes the compression method is used instead of a digestion method.

Case definition

An animal with a positive test result in the official examination.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Artificial digestion method of pooled samples. Occasionally a compression method is used.

Preventive measures in place

It is prohibited to feed pigs with unsterilized household offal.

Control program/mechanisms

All pigs must be controlled for *Trichinella* at slaughter according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009). This control is compulsory according to the Meat Inspection Act except for those animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Measures taken are according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009). Measures imposed on holdings with positive findings of *Trichinella* are in accordance with Regulations concerning measures against contagious animal diseases of 27.06.2002 no 732 (not allowed to sell animals, carcasses must be incinerated, epidemiological investigations will be initiated). Detection of *Trichinella* must be reported immediately. Farms delivering positive carcasses will be identified. Animals from such farms will be given special attention at slaughter the following six months. The sample size for the digestion method will be increased to 2 grams.

Notification system in place

Trichinellosis has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1965. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, no cases of trichinellosis among slaughtered pigs were reported.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Trichinellosis was last detected in two pig herds in 1994.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have not been any findings of *Trichinella* in pigs or pig meat for many years. The risk of obtaining trichinellosis from Norwegian pig meat is negligible.

B. *Trichinella* in horses

Monitoring system

All horses must be controlled for *Trichinella* at slaughter according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009). This control is compulsory according to the Meat Inspection Act except for those animals slaughtered for on-the-farm consumption.

Frequency of the sampling

Every slaughtered animal is sampled.

Type of specimen taken

Tongue or masseter muscle.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Methods used are in accordance to Council Directive 77/96/EEC (still in force in 2009). For analyses, 5 g per animal is included in a pooled sample of maximum 100 g.

Case definition

An animal with a positive test result in the official examination.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Artificial digestion method of pooled samples.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, no cases of trichinellosis were reported among slaughtered horses.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

All horse carcasses that are included in a positive pooled sample will be retested individually (samples of 10 g). Measures taken are in accordance to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009). Measures imposed on holdings with positive findings of *Trichinella* are in accordance with Regulations concerning measures against contagious animal diseases of 27.06.2002 no 732 (not allowed to sell animals, carcasses must be incinerated, epidemiological investigations will be initiated). Detection of *Trichinella* must be reported immediately. Farms delivering positive carcasses will be identified. Animals from such farms will be given special attention at slaughter the following six months.

Notification system in place

Trichinellosis has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1965. Cases are to be notified to the Norwegian Food Safety Authority.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

There have not been any findings of *Trichinella* in horses or horse meat. The risk of obtaining trichinellosis from Norwegian horse meat is negligible.

C. *Trichinella* spp. in wild animals

Monitoring system

All wild boars and bears must be controlled for *Trichinella* at slaughter according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009). This control is compulsory. Wild and farmed foxes and other species of wildlife are occasionally sampled.

Frequency of the sampling

Depending on the situation and animal species.

Type of specimen taken

Diaphragm, tongue, masseter or occasionally other muscles.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Depending on the situation and animal species.

Case definition

An animal with a positive test result.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Digestion methods or compression method.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

If trichinellosis is diagnosed in a farmed fox, the animal holding will get official restrictions in accordance with Regulations concerning measures against contagious diseases of 27.06.2002 no 732 (not allowed to sell animals, carcasses must be incinerated, epidemiological investigations will be initiated).

Notification system in place

Trichinellosis has been a notifiable disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1965.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, one lynx (*Lynx lynx*) was investigated and found negative for *Trichinella*.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Trichinellosis occurs endemically among wildlife.

Echinococcosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

E. granulosus used to be relatively common in reindeer in Northern Norway until the 1950s (approx. 10% prevalence in the 1950s). Today the parasite has virtually been eliminated as a result of systematic antihelminthic treatment of herder dogs and a reduction in the feeding of raw offal from slaughter to the herder dogs. In 2003, one reindeer had pathological findings compatible with *E. granulosus* infestation. *E. granulosus* was last diagnosed in cattle in 1987.

E. multilocularis has never been detected in mainland Norway in any animal species. In 1999, a research project on echinococcosis in the archipelago of Svalbard detected *E. multilocularis* in 16 % of 172 sibling voles tested. Pathological examinations revealed liver cysts. In a follow-up study, faecal samples from polar foxes, dogs, and cats were collected. The parasite was diagnosed in three of six faecal samples from polar foxes, in one of 48 dogs, and in neither of the two cats. The methods used were coproantigen ELISA, flotation (egg detection), and PCR. Of the wintered voles tested in 2000-2006, between 19% and 96% were positive each year. In mainland Norway in the period 2002-2009, a total of 1633 red foxes have been investigated, all have been negative for *E. multilocularis*.

Human echinococcosis has never been a public health problem in Norway.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The risk of acquiring echinococcosis in Norway is considered very low. The pathological finding compatible with *E. granulosus* infestation in a reindeer in 2003 is a reminder that this parasite still may be present and that this requires awareness in the reindeer industry, especially with regard to the importance of regular treatment of herd dogs with an antihelminthic drug. The occurrence of *E. multilocularis* among animals in the archipelago of Svalbard requires alertness among health personnel, especially in this region.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

The pathological finding compatible with *E. granulosus* infestation in a reindeer in 2003 is a reminder that this parasite still may be present and that this requires awareness in the reindeer industry. As *E. multilocularis* has never been detected in mainland Norway in any animal species, the risk to humans of contracting echinococcosis caused by *E. multilocularis* in mainland Norway is probably very low. The occurrence of *E. multilocularis* among animals in the archipelago of Svalbard requires alertness among health personnel, especially in this region. Inhabitants of Svalbard have been informed about the risk.

Echinococcosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome.

Case definition

A clinical compatible case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Serology and histopathology.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1 July 2003.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human echinococcosis has never been a public health problem in Norway and the incidence is considered to be at most very low. The majority of cases have acquired the infection abroad.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, four cases were reported, all had recently moved to Norway.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

The risk of acquiring echinococcosis in Norway is considered very low. The pathological finding compatible with *E. granulosus* infestation in a reindeer in 2003 is a reminder that this parasite still is around and that this requires awareness in the reindeer industry, especially as regard the importance of regular treatment of herd dogs with an antihelmintic drug. As *E. multilocularis* has never been detected in mainland Norway in any animal species, the risk to humans of contracting echinococcosis caused by *E. multilocularis* in mainland Norway is close to zero. The recent detection of *E. multilocularis* among animals in the archipelago of Svalbard requires alertness among health personnel, especially in this region. Inhabitants of Svalbard have been informed about the risk.

Echinococcus in animals

A. *E. granulosus* in animals

Monitoring system

Surveillance in intermediate hosts is achieved through the official meat inspection. There are no official monitoring programmes for *Echinococcus granulosus* among the final hosts (dogs).

Frequency of the sampling

All possible intermediate hosts are being subject to meat inspection procedure according to Council Directive 64/433/EEC (still in force in 2009).

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Inspection for hydatid cysts at the abattoir.

Case definition

An animal with a positive test result.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Macroscopic (visual) examination of organs

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Dogs imported to Norway, except those imported from Sweden and Finland, must be treated with an anti-helmintic drug the last ten days before entering Norway and also one week after arrival. Treatment with an anti-helmintic drug is also advocated on a general basis, especially for herd dogs in areas with reindeer.

Control program/mechanisms

Mandatory official meat control.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

An animal with cystic echinococcosis will be condemned. Epidemiological data will be collected in order to find the source of infection and measures will be introduced to prevent further spread.

Notification system in place

Echinococcosis has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1985.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, all slaughtered animals subjected to official meat control were negative for *E. granulosus*. No cases of infection with *E. granulosus* were diagnosed in carnivores.

Additional information

Methods in use when examining final hosts: Faecal material: Coproantigen ELISA, flotation (egg detection), and PCR.

B. *E. multilocularis* in animals

Monitoring system

In 2006 a National surveillance programme regarding *E. multilocularis* in red foxes was started including foxes killed during hunting in 2002-2005. In 2009, animals hunted during the 2008-2009 hunting season were investigated. There are no official monitoring programmes for *E. multilocularis* in other animals.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

Foxes: Faecal samples. Intermediate hosts: Autopsy.

Case definition

An animal with a positive test result.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Faecal samples: Taeniid egg isolation and multiplex PCR techniques.

Autopsy of intermediate hosts: Macroscopic examination of organs.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Dogs and cats imported to Norway, except those imported from Sweden and Finland, must be treated with an anti-helminthic drug the last ten days before entering Norway and also one week after arrival.

Treatment with an anti-helminthic drug is also advocated on a general basis. Due to findings of *E. multilocularis* in the archipelago of Svalbard, the Norwegian Food Safety Authority requires that dogs and cats that are introduced into mainland Norway from Svalbard must be treated with an anti-helminthic drug approved for treatment of *E. multilocularis*.

Recent actions taken to control the zoonoses

The findings of *E. multilocularis* in the archipelago of Svalbard in 1999 resulted in follow-up studies, requirements regarding anti-helminthic treatment of dogs and cats in regard to export, and an information campaign directed to the inhabitants of Svalbard.

Notification system in place

Echinococcosis has been a notifiable List B disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1985.

Results of the investigation

A total of 396 red foxes killed during the hunting season 2008-2009 were investigated. All were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

In mainland Norway, *E. multilocularis* has never been detected in any animal species. In a study, serum samples from 98 farmed foxes were free from circulating antibodies to Em2 antigen. In mainland Norway the main host of *E. multilocularis*, the fox, has been investigated by examining a total of 1633 red foxes killed during hunting from 2002-2009. All samples have been negative, and it is therefore considered unlikely that this parasite has established in wild foxes in Norway, and the parasite is not likely to be present in dogs and cats either. In 1999, in a research project on echinococcosis in the archipelago of Svalbard, *E. multilocularis* was detected in 16% of 172 sibling voles tested. In a follow-up study, the parasite was diagnosed in samples from polar foxes and dogs. Of the wintered voles tested in 2000-2006, between 19% and 96% were positive each year.

Toxoplasmosis

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In 1994, the last year human toxoplasmosis was notifiable, 33 cases were reported (incidence rate 0.77 per 100 000 inhabitants) of which eight were children less than one year. *Toxoplasma gondii* is endemic in animals in Norway with the domestic cat and wild lynx being the final hosts. Studies indicate that the parasite is relatively common among sheep; 18% of the lambs were seropositive in a survey conducted during the 1990s, and seropositive lambs were identified on 44% of the farms included. The parasite is assumed to be less common among Norwegian pigs. In the above mentioned survey, 2% of the slaughtering pigs tested were seropositive. In 2008, a survey using goat sera collected in the period 2002-2008 were tested. A total of 18.5% of the animals were positive. Also wild ruminants (cervids) can be infected; a survey carried out among 4300 cervids killed during hunting in 1992-2000, revealed 34% seropositive roe deer, 13% seropositive moose, 8% seropositive red deer and 1% seropositive reindeer.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Toxoplasma gondii is endemic in Norway with the domestic cat and wild lynx being the final hosts. Studies indicate that the parasite is relatively common among sheep and goat and less common among Norwegian pigs. Also wild ruminants (cervids) can be infected. There are no data indicating recent developments in the prevalence of the infection in various species.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

A case control study designed to identify risk factors for maternal toxoplasma infection during pregnancy showed that the following exposures were associated with an increased risk: Eating raw or undercooked minced meat, eating unwashed raw vegetables or fruits, eating raw or undercooked mutton, eating raw or undercooked pork, cleaning the cat litter box and washing the kitchen knife infrequently after preparing raw meat. This implies that Norwegian farm animals and food products of Norwegian origin may well be an important source of human toxoplasmosis.

Toxoplasmosis in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are not reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS).

Case definition

A clinically compatible case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Serology (antibody detection) and parasitological examination (identification of parasite in clinical specimens).

Notification system in place

Since 1995, human toxoplasmosis has not been a notifiable disease in Norway.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

In different epidemiological surveys conducted in Norway, 7-27% of pregnant women tested have been seropositive. The percentages have been age dependent, with the proportion of seropositive individuals increasing with age, and have also varied with region and ethnicity. It is estimated that approximately 90% of fertile women are susceptible to the disease and that approximately two out of 1000 susceptible pregnant women are infected during pregnancy. In 1994, the last year human toxoplasmosis was notifiable, 33 cases were reported (incidence rate 0.77 per 100 000 inhabitants) of which eight were children less than one year.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, no cases were reported.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Toxoplasma gondii is endemic in Norway although the parasite is considered to be somewhat less prevalent as compared to countries more south in Europe. The public health importance of toxoplasmosis is its potential of causing severe disease in infants who are born to women infected during pregnancy, and its potential of causing severe disease in immunocompromised individuals, such as people with AIDS. Seroprevalence surveys among pregnant women indicate that infection with *Toxoplasma* is common in Norway. Pregnant women are advised how to avoid infection during pregnancy.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

A case control study designed to identify risk factors for maternal toxoplasma infection during pregnancy showed that the following exposures were associated with an increased risk: Eating raw or undercooked minced meat, eating unwashed raw vegetables or fruits, eating raw or undercooked mutton, eating raw or undercooked pork, cleaning the cat litter box and washing the kitchen knife infrequently after preparing raw meat. This implies that Norwegian farm animals and food products of Norwegian origin may well be an important source of *Toxoplasma* for spread to humans.

Toxoplasma in animals

Monitoring system

Sampling of animals is performed in case of clinical suspicion and in connection to import/export. Surveys are occasionally performed.

Frequency of the sampling

In cases of clinical suspicion or specific surveys.

Case definition

An animal with a positive test result.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Serology (direct agglutination test) or pathology.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Normally none.

Notification system in place

Toxoplasmosis in animals has been a List C disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1965.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, several animal species were investigated for *Toxoplasma* at the National Veterinary Institute. Only for sheep were there more than five investigated animals and more than one positive animal: A total of nine out of 31 investigated sheep were positive.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Toxoplasma gondii is endemic in Norway. There are no data indicating recent developments in the prevalence of the infection in various species.

Relevance of the findings in animals to findings in foodstuffs and to human cases

A risk for humans of contracting toxoplasmosis in Norway does exist. However, the relevance of clinical toxoplasmosis is most important in immunosuppressed persons and in pregnant women.

Rabies

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Rabies in animals has not been recorded in mainland Norway. The disease has sporadically been diagnosed in polar fox, reindeer, and seal in the archipelago of Svalbard, the last time in a fox found dead in 1999 (25 animal cases were diagnosed during the period 1980-2009). However, transmission of rabies to humans has never been recorded in the archipelago of Svalbard.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

The situation in mainland Norway regarding rabies is stable. However, there are concerns about the risk of introducing rabies through illegally imported dogs.

Relevance of the findings in animals, feedingstuffs and foodstuffs to human cases

Rabies has sporadically been diagnosed in wild animals in the archipelago of Svalbard, the last occurrence was in 1999. Although no transmission of rabies to humans has been recorded in Svalbard, people being in contact with wild animals in Svalbard should be aware of the risk. In mainland Norway, the possible risk for introduction of rabies through illegally imported animals could pose a risk for humans.

Rabies in humans

Reporting system in place for the human cases

Human cases are reported to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS), from microbiological laboratories as well as from clinical doctors. The system distinguishes between domestic and imported cases. The severity of the disease at the time of reporting is also recorded. However, the surveillance system does not follow individual patients over time to record further disease development and final outcome. Cases are also reported immediately to the Municipal Medical Officer. If a domestic animal source is suspected, the Municipal Medical Officer also informs the Norwegian Food Safety Authority. Investigations will be initiated in order to identify the source and prevent further cases.

Case definition

A clinical case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Detection of viral antigens by an immunofluorescence test in neurological tissue (usually brain) in connection to post-mortem examination, virus isolation in cell culture, or identification of an antibody titre greater than the threshold value in serum or cerebrospinal fluid from an unvaccinated person.

Notification system in place

According to the Communicable Disease Act, human cases are notifiable to the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases (MSIS) since 1975.

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Human rabies was last described in Norway in 1815.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, no human cases were reported.

Relevance as zoonotic disease

As mainland Norway has been free from rabies for almost two centuries and stringent regulation regarding import of animals are in place, the risk of contracting rabies in mainland Norway is close to zero. Rabies has sporadically been diagnosed in wild animals in the archipelago of Svalbard, the last time in a fox found dead in 1999. Although no transmission of rabies to humans has been recorded in Svalbard, people being in contact with wild animals in Svalbard should be aware of the risk.

Additional information

Rabies vaccine containing inactivated virus is available for the following indications: Pre-exposure prophylaxis to; 1) individuals with prolonged travels to countries with high incidence of rabies; 2) individuals who will work with animals in endemic areas; 3) persons who are at frequent risk of bites from bats; 4) laboratory personnel involved in rabies diagnostics. Post-exposure prophylaxis to individuals presumably exposed to rabies virus abroad or in the archipelago of Svalbard, or who have been bitten by bats. The post-exposure prophylaxis includes specific antiserum in addition to the vaccine.

Lyssavirus (rabies) in animals

A. Rabies in dogs

Monitoring system

There are no active surveillance programmes regarding rabies. However, being a notifiable disease, clinical suspicion of rabies must be reported immediately.

Frequency of the sampling

On clinical suspicion.

Type of specimen taken

Brain.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

The brain is removed at autopsy, and samples are taken according to the procedures described in the OIE manual.

Case definition

A case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Fluorescent antibody test (FAT), cell culture test or mouse inoculation test. All performed according to the OIE manual, 5th ed. 2004. A very sensitive PCR method is also used.

Vaccination policy

Vaccines containing inactivated rabies virus antigen are available for dogs and cats intended for international transport that makes vaccination necessary or practical. Otherwise, vaccination against rabies is not done on a routine basis.

Other preventive measures than vaccination in place

Infected animals will be destroyed and measures taken to prevent further cases.

Control program/mechanisms

Dogs and cats entering Norway from countries not considered rabies free, are subject to four months of quarantine in an officially approved station, followed by a two months period in home quarantine. However, dogs and cats from EEA countries not considered rabies free are permitted into Norway without quarantine, provided they have been vaccinated against rabies and have been proven antibody positive according to a given protocol.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Infected animals will be destroyed and measures taken to prevent further cases.

Notification system in place

Rabies has been a notifiable List A disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1965. Rabies is dealt with in Council Directive 92/65/EEC, which is implemented in Regulations on animal health conditions regarding movements, import and export of certain animals [FOR 2004-07-01 No 1105 and FOR 2004-02-20 No 464].

Results of the investigation

In 2009 no cases were reported. Three dogs were investigated and found negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Mainland Norway is recognized as rabies free. Rabies has sporadically been diagnosed in wild animals in the archipelago of Svalbard, the last time in a fox found dead in 1999. Although no transmission of rabies to dogs has been recorded in Svalbard, people in Svalbard should be aware of the risk. There is a concern regarding a possible increase in the number of illegally imported dogs.

B. Rabies virus in wildlife

Monitoring system

There are no active surveillance programmes regarding rabies. However, the disease must be reported immediately on clinical suspicion.

Frequency of the sampling

On clinical suspicion.

Type of specimen taken

Brain, in bats also oral swabs.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

The brain is removed at autopsy, and samples are taken according the procedures described in the OIE manual.

Case definition

A case that is laboratory confirmed.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Fluorescent antibody test (FAT), cell culture test or mouse inoculation test, all performed according to the OIE Manual of Diagnostic Tests and vaccines for Terrestrial Animals, 5th ed. 2004. In addition, a very sensitive PCR method is used.

Measures in case of the positive findings or single cases

Infected animals will be destroyed and measures taken to prevent further cases.

Notification system in place

Rabies has been a notifiable List A disease according to the Animal Diseases Act since 1965. Rabies is dealt with in Council Directive 92/65/EEC, which is implemented in Regulations on animal health conditions regarding movement, import and export of certain animals [FOR 2004-07-01 No 1105 and FOR 2004-02-20 No 464].

Results of the investigation

In 2009, all tested animals were negative. The majority of animals came from the Svalbard area (64 polar foxes (mainly from the 2007-2008 hunting season) and three polar bears). In addition one bat from mainland Norway was investigated and found negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Mainland Norway is considered rabies free. Rabies has sporadically been diagnosed in wild animals in the archipelago of Svalbard, the last time in a fox found dead in 1999. Although no transmission of rabies to other animal species has been recorded in Svalbard, people in Svalbard should be aware of the risk.

Q-fever

General evaluation of the national situation

History of the disease and/or infection in the country

Q-fever has not been diagnosed in animals in Norway. In a survey in 2008, bulk milk samples from 470 dairy herds and 550 blood samples from 55 suckling cattle herds were sampled in five cattle dense counties (Rogaland, Trøndelag, Hedmark, Oppland and Østfold). All samples were negative.

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

C. burnetii has never been detected in animals in Norway.

C. burnetii in animals

Sampling strategy

Surveys are performed occasionally.

Case definition

Sample positive for antibodies against *C. burnetii*.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Detection of antibodies to *C. burnetii* in milk or serum by ELISA.

Results of the investigation

In 2009, a total of 349 goat herds (bulk milk samples from 348 herds and blood samples from 31 animals from one herd), 627 sheep (from 124 herds) and 68 cattle (from 46 herds) were tested for Q-fever. All samples were negative.

Information on specific indicators of antimicrobial resistance

Escherichia coli, non-pathogenic

National evaluation of the recent situation, the trends and sources of infection

Earlier surveys as well as data from the monitoring programme NORM-VET indicate a low to moderate prevalence of resistance in indicator *E. coli* from Norwegian food producing animals and food. Those resistances that are most commonly encountered are to antimicrobials that have been or still are typically used therapeutically such as streptomycin, sulphonamides, tetracycline and ampicillin. Fluoroquinolone resistance is rarely detected, which is a reflection of a very low use of such antimicrobials in food producing animals in Norway.

Sampling strategy used in monitoring

The sampling of animals for isolation of indicator *E. coli* to be included in resistance monitoring is a part of the Norwegian monitoring programme for antimicrobial resistance in feed, food and animals, NORM-VET. The sampling is spread throughout the year and each year one or several animal species are included. In 2009, broilers, horse and sheep were monitored.

Type of specimen taken

Faecal material.

Methods of sampling (description of sampling techniques)

The samples were taken as part of other surveillance programmes (broiler, sheep) or collected at veterinary horse clinics or from stables in the field (horse).

Procedures for the selection of isolates for antimicrobial testing

Only one isolate from each herd was included.

Methods used for collecting data

All samples were sent to the National Veterinary Institute in Oslo for identification and for antimicrobial susceptibility testing.

Laboratory methodology used for identification of the microbial isolates

A sample was plated directly onto the surface of lactose-saccharose-bromthymol blue agar without broth enrichment. After incubation of the agar plates at 37°C for 24 h, a typical colony was plated onto blood agar (Heart infusion agar (Difco) containing 5% bovine blood) and incubated at 37°C for 18-24 h. Colonies were identified as *E. coli* by typical appearance, lactose and/or saccharose fermentation and a positive indole reaction.

Laboratory methods used for detection for resistance

The VetMIC microdilution method (Dept. of Antibiotics, National Veterinary Institute, Sweden) was used for the susceptibility testing of all isolates. The antimicrobials included are listed in the table.

Breakpoints used in testing

For interpretation of results epidemiological cut-off values recommended by EFSA were applied. When no cut-off value was recommended, or the range of concentrations tested was inappropriate for the recommended value, a cut-off value was defined on basis of the actual MIC distributions obtained in the NORM-VET programme. The same approach was used when recommended cut-off values would have cut through distributions of MIC-values in a manner not in agreement with the concept of wild type distributions, causing an erroneously high frequency of resistance in single year(s).

Control program/mechanisms

The sampling of animals for isolation of indicator *E. coli* to be included in resistance monitoring is a part of the Norwegian monitoring programme for antimicrobial resistance in feed, food and animals, NORM-VET.

Results

The qualitative data are presented in the table. Quantitative data as well as data on breakpoints and range of testing are presented in the NORM/NORM-VET 2009 report.

Table Antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *E. coli*

	Broiler	Sheep	Horse
Number of isolates tested	162	136	171
Percentage of isolates resistant to			
Tetracycline	8.0	1.5	1.8
Chloramphenicol	0	0	0
Cefotaxime	0	0	0
Ciprofloxacin	8.0	0	0
Nalidixic acid	8.0	0	0
Sulfamethoxazole	7.4	2.9	7.6
Trimethoprim	2.5	0	8.8
Streptomycin	3.1	14.0	7.6
Gentamicin	0	0	0
Ampicillin	11.7	2.2	1.8

Information on specific microbiological agents

Histamine in foodstuffs

Monitoring system

Regular testing of selected species is required as an internal part of food business operator's quality assurance system. Surveys are performed occasionally.

Definition of positive finding

Histamine values above 100 mg/kg.

Diagnostic/analytical methods used

Reverse phase HPLC/UV

Table Histamine in foodstuffs

	Source of information	Sampling unit	Sample weight	Units tested	Total units in non-conformity	<=100 mg/kg	>100 - <=200 mg/kg	>200 - <=400 mg/kg	>400 mg/kg
Fish	NIFES	single	5 g	113	0	113*			

* All samples <5 mg/kg

Foodborne outbreaks

Foodborne outbreaks are incidences of two or more human cases of the same disease or infection where the cases are linked or are probably linked to the same food source. Situation, in which the observed human cases exceed the expected number of cases and where a same food source is suspected, is also indicative of a foodborne outbreak.

System in place for identification, epidemiological investigations and reporting of foodborne outbreaks

Health personnel are required to report suspected foodborne outbreaks to the Municipal Health Officer, who is required to report to the County Governor (Fylkesmannen) and to the Norwegian Institute of Public Health. Suspected outbreaks are reported immediately to the Municipal Medical Officer who notifies the Norwegian Institute of Public Health the same day. If a domestic food or animal source is suspected, the Municipal Medical Officer also informs the local Food Safety Authority.

The Norwegian Food Safety Authority has voluntary reporting where the District Offices report foodborne outbreaks.

Norway has since 2005 a web-based reporting system called Vesuv where all outbreaks in humans are to be reported and stored in a database at the Norwegian Institute of Public Health.

If an indigenous outbreak is suspected, epidemiological investigations will be initiated in order to identify the source and prevent further cases. For imported cases, the country of acquisition will be recorded. If information through international networks indicates that a case belongs to an outbreak, epidemiological investigations will be initiated.

Description of the types of outbreaks covered by the reporting

All suspected foodborne outbreaks are notifiable. The definition of a foodborne outbreak is two or more human cases with the same infection where the cases are linked or are probably linked to the same food source, or when observed number of human cases exceeds the expected number of cases during the same time period and place, and food is a likely vehicle.

Trends in numbers of outbreaks and numbers of human cases involved

The number of reported foodborne outbreaks has increased in Norway since the web-based reporting system was established in 2005 (42 in 2005, 65 in 2006 and 80 in 2007). We believe that this increasing trend is due to a higher reporting frequency rather than a real higher number of outbreaks. The number of reported outbreaks decreased again in 2008 (64) and 2009 (47).

Relevance of the different causative agents, food categories and the agent/food category combinations

Traditionally, the most common cause of foodborne outbreaks in Norway has been bacterial intoxication (*Clostridium perfringens*, *Bacillus cereus* and *Staphylococcus aureus*). Recently, foodborne outbreaks of norovirus caused by infected food handlers have become more common. Reported domestic outbreaks of salmonellosis and campylobacteriosis have been relatively rare.

Relevance of the different type of places of food production and preparation in outbreaks

Traditionally, outbreaks have mainly been associated with inadequate handling and temperature abuse, causing food intoxication. In addition, untreated water has caused several outbreaks.

Evaluation of the severity and clinical picture of the human cases

In 2009, several severe outbreaks were reported. No deaths were related to foodborne outbreaks.

Descriptions of single outbreaks of special interest

We registered one severe outbreak caused by sorbitol fermenting *E. coli* O157 including 13 children and nine of the patients developed haemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS). Two other severe *E. coli* outbreaks caused by O145 (3 HUS) and O121 (1 HUS) were also reported. A total of 23 persons were included in an outbreak of *Shigella sonnei* which was discovered with PCR in imported sugar peas.

Table Reported foodborne outbreaks in Norway 2009 - summarized data

Agent	Total number of outbreaks (verified outbreaks)	Human cases	Implicated food in verified outbreaks
<i>Bacillus</i>	3 (1)	11	Shrimps in sushi
<i>Campylobacter</i>	4 (0)	26	
<i>Clostridium</i>	1 (1)	33	Stew with pork
<i>E. coli</i> , pathogenic	5 (0)	31	
Foodborne viruses	15 (1)	335	Oysters
<i>Listeria</i>	1 (0)	2	
Other agents	3 (2)	38	Histamine in smoked mackerel, <i>Shigella sonnei</i> in imported sugar peas
Parasites	1 (0)	66	
<i>Salmonella</i>	1 (0)	5	
Unknown	13 (0)	160	