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Summary

Surveillance in 2021 showed that a total of 110 flocks (5.8%) tested positive for *Campylobacter* spp. when all broiler flocks slaughtered before 51 days of age during the period 24th of May - 31st of October were tested. In total 1 891 flocks from 485 farms were sampled. Of all farms sampled, 84 (17.3%) had positive flocks, and of these, 20 (4.1% of all farms) had two or more positive flocks. This means that 41.8% of the positive flocks originated from 4.1% of the farms. The carcasses from the positive flocks were either heat treated or frozen for a minimum of three weeks before being marketed. This year’s result is somewhat more favourable than the results from 2016 - 2018 and 2020 with 7.7%, 7.1%, 6.3% and 6.1% positive flocks respectively, and a bit higher than the result from 2019 (5.1% positive flocks). The prevalence is still very low, compared to most other European countries.

Introduction

Campylobacteriosis is currently the most commonly reported bacterial infectious disease in the Norwegian human population (*Campylobacteriose*). Unlike previous years, most of the infections in 2020 and 2021 were acquired in Norway, probably due to the restricted travel restrictions during the Covid-19 pandemic. The number of infections registered in the Norwegian human population has also been lower in 2021 and 2022 compared to previous years. Consumption of poultry meat purchased raw has been identified as a significant risk factor together with drinking undisinfected water, eating at barbecues, occupational exposure to animals, and eating undercooked pork (1).

The action plan regarding *Campylobacter* spp. in Norwegian broilers has been running since spring 2001 (2). The action plan is a joint effort involving several stakeholder groups from “stable-to-table”. The Norwegian Food Safety Authority (NFSA) is responsible for implementing the surveillance programme, while the Norwegian Veterinary Institute (NVI) coordinates the programme, performs the laboratory investigations, analyses the data and communicates the results.

The action plan is updated regularly, and the details for 2022 together with reports and plans from previous years can be found at [https://www.vetinst.no/overvaking/campylobacter-fjorfe](https://www.vetinst.no/overvaking/campylobacter-fjorfe).

Aims

The objective is to reduce the human exposure to thermophilic *Campylobacter* spp. from Norwegian broiler meat products.
Materials and Methods

In 2021, all Norwegian broiler flocks slaughtered before 51 days of age during the period 24th of May - 31st of October were sampled by the owner or the keeper. The sampling in 2021 was performed maximum six days before slaughter. When correctly sampled, one sample consisted of ten pooled swabs from fresh faecal/caecal droppings. The samples were analysed by real-time PCR for detection of *Campylobacter* spp. at the NVI (3). The carcasses from the positive flocks were either heat treated or frozen for a minimum of three weeks before being marketed. In general, the test results could be accessed within one working day through the EOS portal. All positive test results are reported to the NFSA.

In the case of undetermined status for a flock at the time of slaughter, caeca from ten broilers per flock would have been sampled at the slaughterhouse to ensure cultivation at NVI. In 2021, no samples from caeca were sent to the NVI due to unknown status at the time of slaughter.

Results and Discussion

In total, 1 891 flock samples were taken before slaughter, representing 485 farms. Totally 110 flocks (5.8%) tested positive for *Campylobacter* spp.

The positive samples originated from 84 (17.3%) of the farms. Of those farms having more than one positive flock, one farm had four positive flocks, four farms had three positive flocks and 15 farms had two positive flocks. This shows that 20 (23.8%) of the farms where *Campylobacter* was detected had more than one positive flock. Even though these farms only represent 4.1% (20/485) of all farms tested, they contributed with 41.8% (46/110) of all positive flocks tested in 2021.

The percent of *Campylobacter* positive flocks has varied substantially since the action plan was launched (Figure 1 and 2).
Figure 1. Monthly incidence of Campylobacter spp. in slaughtered Norwegian broiler flocks from May 2001 throughout 2008. The blue line represents flocks positive for Campylobacter spp., these data are based on two test results; before slaughter and at slaughter. The red line represents flocks tested positive for Campylobacter spp. at the sampling at farm before slaughter (from 2005 onwards: sampling approx. four days before slaughter).

Figure 2. Monthly incidence of Campylobacter spp. in Norwegian broiler flocks from May throughout October 2009 - 2021. The red line represents flocks positive for Campylobacter spp. when sampled at farm before slaughter.

Up to and including February 2005, the pre-slaughter samples were taken approximately eight days before slaughter, and approximately 50% of the positive flocks were detected only by sampling and testing at slaughter. From 1st of March 2005 and onwards, all flocks were sampled maximum four days before slaughter, and in 2005, 31.8% of the positive flocks were detected at slaughter only. In 2006 this was further reduced to 25.3%, and in 2007 the corresponding figure was 24.5%. This confirms the importance of sampling close to the slaughter date for the detection of Campylobacter positive flocks.

The results for 2002 - 2007, when all flocks were sampled twice, are presented in Table 1 along with the results of 2008 when the sampling was performed all year but only at pre-slaughter level. From 2008, the sampling at slaughter was terminated, and more recent data to calculate the number of flocks which were going on the market positive for Campylobacter
without being frozen or heat treated are therefore lacking. Assuming that 2008 - 2015 equals 2007 with respect to the proportion of positive flocks being identified at the pre-slaughter sample (approx. 75%), the seasonal distribution (approx. 80% of positive flocks are slaughtered during the six summer months) and that the number of samples equals the number of flocks, calculations was made for the years 2008 - 2015 (Table 1 and 2).

**Table 1. Results from the Action Plan against Campylobacter spp. in broilers in the period 2002 - 2008.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of sampled flocks</th>
<th>Number (%) of positive flocks</th>
<th>Number of positive flocks discovered at slaughter only*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>3 627</td>
<td>228 (6.3)</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>3 550</td>
<td>175 (4.9)</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>3 626</td>
<td>118 (3.3)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3 652</td>
<td>132 (3.6)</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>3 908</td>
<td>190 (4.9)</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>4 145</td>
<td>237 (5.7)</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4 675</td>
<td>193 (4.1)</td>
<td>64**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This is the maximum number of flocks positive for Campylobacter spp. which had the possibility to reach the market without previous freezing or heat treatment.

** For 2008 this is the estimated maximum number of flocks positive for Campylobacter spp. which had the possibility to reach the market without previous freezing or heat treatment.

**Table 2. Results and estimated results from the Action Plan against Campylobacter spp. in broilers in the period 2009 - 2021.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of investigated / positive (%) samples*</th>
<th>Estimated number of flocks the whole year**</th>
<th>Estimated number (%) of positive flocks per year</th>
<th>Estimated number of non-identified positive flocks***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>1 924 / 117 (6.1)</td>
<td>4 000</td>
<td>195 (4.9)</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2 170 / 110 (5.1)</td>
<td>4 400</td>
<td>184 (4.2)</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>2 282 / 139 (6.1)</td>
<td>4 560</td>
<td>232 (5.1)</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2 417 / 106 (4.4)</td>
<td>4 830</td>
<td>177 (3.7)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2 710 / 149 (5.5)</td>
<td>5 420</td>
<td>248 (4.6)</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2 685 / 160 (6.0)</td>
<td>5 370</td>
<td>267 (5.0)</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2 133 / 93 (4.4)</td>
<td>4 260</td>
<td>155 (3.6)</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2 262 / 175 (7.7)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1 919 / 136 (7.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1 986 / 126 (6.3)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2 018 / 103 (5.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1 893 / 115 (6.1)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>1 891 / 110 (5.8)</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Equals (for 2009-2021 approximately) number of slaughtered / positive flocks.

** In 2009 - 2015, the estimate for the whole year is based upon number of slaughtered flocks in May - October.

*** The estimated maximum number of flocks positive for Campylobacter spp. which had the possibility to reach the market without previous freezing or heat treatment.

ND: Not determined.
Estimates of the whole year flock prevalence and the number of flocks positive for *Campylobacter* spp. reaching the market without freezing or heat treatment, have not been calculated since 2015 because no sampling has been done in the action plan during the six “winter months” November - April for the last thirteen years. Estimates would probably be hampered with too many uncertainties to be of great value. Also the fact that the sampling since 2016 was performed a maximum of six days before slaughter, not four days as previous years, adds to the uncertainty of such estimates.

This year’s result (5.8 %) is somewhat more favourable than the results from 2016 - 2018 and 2020 with 7.7%, 7.1%, 6.3% and 6.1% respectively and a bit higher than the result from 2019 with 5.1% positive flocks. The prevalence is still very low, compared to most other European countries (4).
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