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The surveillance and control programme for avian influenza (AI) in poultry in Norway

Bruce David, Katrine Sønneland Håland, Chiek Er

Surveillance in 2010 did not detect infection with influenza A virus in poultry.

Introduction
The Norwegian Food Safety Authority is responsible for implementing the surveillance programme for avian influenza (AI) in poultry. The programme, which was started in 2006, is based on serological investigations of poultry. The National Veterinary Institute is responsible for planning, laboratory investigations and reporting components of the programmes.

AI is a serious, highly contagious disease of poultry and other captive birds caused by many different subtypes of influenza type A viruses. The level of risks posed by the different subtypes for animal and public health is very variable due to rapid virus mutation and possible re-assortment of the genetic material between different subtypes.

Current knowledge indicates that the health risks posed by the so-called Low Pathogenic AI (LPAI) viruses are lower than that posed by Highly Pathogenic AI (HPAI) viruses. The HPAI viruses originate from a mutation of LPAI viruses of either H5 or H7 subtype. HPAI can cause disease in poultry resulting in mortality rate exceeding 90%.

In general, domestic poultry populations are free from AI viruses. However, wild waterfowls are the natural reservoirs for all influenza A virus subtypes. Infected birds do not usually develop clinical disease, but may shed large amounts of virus upon infection (1). The national surveillance and control programme for AI in poultry was started in 2006 and modelled on EU’s Council Directive 2005/94/EC.

HPAI has until now never been reported or diagnosed in poultry in Norway.

Aims
The aim of the national surveillance and control programme for AI in poultry is to document that the various poultry populations in Norway are free of influenza A virus of sub-types H5 and H7 and to contribute to the maintenance of this status.

Materials and methods
The programme in 2010 consisted of serological screening of blood samples from poultry. Poultry deemed at risk for exposure to influenza type A were preferentially sampled as outlined in EU’s AI Directive Annex I. The basis for sample selection was based upon a risk assessment published by the National Veterinary Institute in February 2006 (2). The sample selection included chickens, turkeys and ducks.
In addition to the samples taken from farms on the basis of the risk assessment (2), samples from breeding flocks were also tested for AI.

Blood samples were collected from at least 10 birds per holding. An exception to this was duck flocks, from which 50 samples were collected. If there were more than one shed on the holding, all sheds were sampled. In those instances where the flock size was less than the number required, all birds in the flock were sampled.

Due to the limitations of the ELISA for influenza A virus when used in species other than chickens and turkeys, samples from ducks were tested for influenza A virus subtype H5 and subtype H7.

**Chickens and turkeys**

An ELISA kit produced by IDEXX was used for the testing of antibodies against influenza A virus. The test has been demonstrated to detect antibody reactivity to 20 different subtypes of avian influenza including 14 hemagglutinin glycoproteins and the H5N1 subtype.

This test is only validated for use in chickens and turkeys. If tests were positive, samples were examined further for presence of H5 or H7 with the haemagglutination inhibition test as in other species.

**Other poultry**

All serum samples from species other than chicken and turkeys were tested for specific antibodies against both H5 and H7 with the haemagglutination inhibition test described in the OIE diagnostic manual (3).

**Results**

Table 1 shows the number of flocks and birds tested in 2010. Seven chicken flocks - 4 breeder and 1 commercial and 1 hobby; and 1 turkey flocks - gave positive or inconclusive results when tested for antibodies against Influenza A virus. Subsequent testing of these samples with haemagglutination inhibition tests showed no sign of antibodies against either H5 or H7, with the exception of one breeder flock. The positive sample from this flock was not retested, but subsequent flocks at this locale have all tested negative.

A number of samples taken for the purposes of diagnosing disease, production problems and the control of imported animals were also screened for antibodies against Influenza A virus or H5/H7. All were negative.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Certified breeder flocks</th>
<th>Commercial flocks</th>
<th>Hobby flocks</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flocks</td>
<td>Animals</td>
<td>Flocks</td>
<td>Animals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicken</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duck</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goose</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quail</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>1536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Not tested as a part of surveillance program, * zoo animals
Discussion
An adequate number of flocks were sampled with respect to Norwegian population of commercial poultry.

Only 10 hobby flocks were sampled in the programme. In general, conclusions with respect to the adequacy of the sampling of hobby poultry are difficult, as the true population numbers are unknown. It should be noted that the numbers sampled as a part of the programme do not represent the true sampling of such flocks, as a number of hobby poultry tested for the purpose of diagnosing disease were not included in the programme.
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